WDREF, APPEAL, CLMAGT, JNTADMN

U.S. Bankruptcy Court California Northern Bankruptcy Court (San Francisco) **Bankruptcy Petition #: 19–30088**

Date filed: 01/29/2019 *Plan confirmed:* 06/20/2020 Assigned to: Judge Dennis Montali 341 meeting: 04/29/2019 Chapter 11 Voluntary Deadline for filing claims: 10/21/2019 Deadline for filing claims (govt.): 10/21/2019 Asset

Debtor **PG&E Corporation** 77 Beale Street P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177 SAN FRANCISCO-CA (929) 333-8977

Tax ID / EIN: 94-3234914

represented by Max Africk

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212-310-8000 TERMINATED: 11/12/2019

Peter J. Benvenutti

Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP 650 California St. 19th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 364-6798 Email: <u>pbenvenutti@kbkllp.com</u>

Kevin Bostel

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212-310-8000

Lee Brand

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP Four Embarcadero Center, 22nd Fl. San Francisco, CA 94111-5998 415-983-1116 Email: <u>lee.brand@pillsburylaw.com</u>

Timothy G. Cameron

Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 8th Ave. New York, NY 10019 (212)474-1120

Jared R. Friedmann

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10153 (212) 310-8000

Andriana Georgallas

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212-310-8000

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/12/22 Entered: 05/12/22 10:44:09 Page 1 of 83

Stuart J. Goldring

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10153 (212) 310–8000

Matthew Goren

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212–310–8000

David A. Herman

Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 212–474–1000

David Franklin Hill, IV

Weil, Gotshal and Manges, LLP 767 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10153 (212) 310–8000

Stephen Karotkin

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212–310–8000

Tobias S. Keller

Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP 650 California St. #1900 San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 796–0709 Email: tkeller@kbkllp.com

Jane Kim

Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP 650 California St, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 364–6793 Email: <u>ikim@kbkllp.com</u>

Katherine Kohn

Groom Law Group, Chartered 1701 Pennsylvania Ave, NW #1200 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 861–2607 Email: kkohn@groom.com

Kevin Kramer

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212–310–8000

David Levine

Groom Law Group, Chartered 1701 Pennsylvania Ave, NW #1200 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 861–5436

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/12/22 Entered: 05/12/22 10:44:09 Page 2

of 83

Email: dnl@groom.com

Dara Levinson Silveira

Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP 650 California St. #1900 San Francisco, CA 94108 415-364-6793 Email: dsilveira@kbkllp.com

Jessica Liou

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212-310-8000

Omid H. Nasab

Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 212-474-1000

John Nolan

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212-310-8000

Kevin J. Orsini

Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 212-474-1000

Thomas B. Rupp

Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP 650 California Street, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94108 415-636-9015 Email: trupp@kbkllp.com

Bradley R. SchneiderMunger Tolles and Olson LLP 350 Š Grand Ave., 50th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 683–9100 Email: bradley.schneider@mto.com

Ray C. Schrock

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 212-310-8000

Richard W. Slack

Weil Gotshal and Manges, LLP 767 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10153-0119 (212) 310–8000 Email: richard.slack@weil.com

Theodore Tsekerides

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/12/22 Entered: 05/12/22 10:44:09 Page 3 of 83

New York, NY 10153 212-310-8000

Paul H. Zumbro

Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP 85 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 2124741000 Email: mao@cravath.com

Responsible Ind Jason P. Wells Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer PG&E Corporation 77 Beale St. San Francisco, CA 94177 (929) 333–8977

U.S. Trustee **Office of the U.S. Trustee / SF**Phillip J. Burton Federal Building
450 Golden Gate Ave. 5th Fl., #05–0153
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)705–3333

represented by Jason Blumberg

Office of the U.S. Trustee 501 I St. #7–500 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 930–2076 Email: jason.blumberg@usdoj.gov

Cameron M. Gulden

Office of the United States Trustee 300 Booth St., Room 3009 Reno, NV 89509 (775) 784–5335 Email: cameron.m.gulden@usdoj.gov

Lynette C. Kelly

Office of the United States Trustee Phillip J. Burton Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Ave. 5th Fl., #05–0153 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 252–2065 Email: ustpregion17.oa.ecf@usdoj.gov

Timothy S. Laffredi

Office of the U. S. Trustee – San Jose 280 South 1 St., Suite 268 San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 535–5525 Email: timothy.s.laffredi@usdoi.gov

Timothy S. Laffredi

Office of the U.S. Trustee – SF 450 Golden Gate Ave. Suite 05–0153 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 705–3333 Email: timothy.s.laffredi@usdoj.gov

Marta Villacorta

Office of the United States Trustee Phillip J. Burton Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Ave. 5th Fl., #05–0153 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 252–2062 Email: marta.villacorta@usdoj.gov

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/12/22 Entered: 05/12/22 10:44:09 Page 4

of 83

Creditor Committee Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors

represented by Paul S. Aronzon

Milbank LLP 2029 Century Park East, 33rd Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067 (424) 386–4000 Email: paronzon@milbank.com

James C. Behrens

Milbank, LLP 2029 Century Park E, 33rd Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067 (424) 386–4436 Email: jbehrens@milbank.com

Gregory A. Bray

Milbank LLP 2029 Century Park East, 33rd Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067 (424) 386–4470 Email: gbrav@milbank.com

Erin Elizabeth Dexter

Milbank LLP 1850 K St., NW, #1100 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 835–7500 Email: edexter@milbank.com

Dennis F. Dunne

Milbank, LLP 55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001–2163 (212) 530–5000 Email: ddunne@milbank.com

Samuel A. Khalil

Milbank, LLP 55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001–2163 (212) 530–5000 Email: skhalil@milbank.com

Thomas R. Kreller

Milbank LLP 2029 Century Park East, 33rd Los Angeles, CA 90067 (424) 386–4463 Email: tkreller@milbank.com

Andrew Michael Leblanc

Milbank LLP 1850 K St., NW, #1100 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 835–7500 Email: ALeblanc@milbank.com

Alan J. Stone

Milbank LLP 55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001 (212) 530–5000

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/12/22 Entered: 05/12/22 10:44:09 Page 5 of 83

Email: AStone@milbank.com

Creditor Committee
Official Committee of Tort Claimants

represented by Lauren T. Attard

Baker Hostetler LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd. #1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025–0509 (310) 820–8800 Email: lattard@bakerlaw.com

Chris Bator

Baker & Hostetler LLP 127 Public Square #2000 Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 621–0200 Email: cbator@bakerlaw.com

Dustin M. Dow

Baker & Hostetler LLP 127 Public Square #2000 Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 621–0200 Email: ddow@bakerlaw.com

Cecily Ann Dumas

Baker and Hostetler LLP Transamerica Pyramid Center 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94111–2806 415–659–2600 Email: cdumas@bakerlaw.com

Joseph M. Esmont

Baker & Hostetler LLP 127 Public Sq., #2000 Cleveland, OH 44147 (216) 861–7835 Email: jesmont@bakerlaw.com

Lars H. Fuller

Baker & Hostetler LLP 1801 California St #4400 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 764–4114 Email: lfuller@bakerlaw.com

Eric R. Goodman

Brown Rudnick LLP 601 Thirteenth St. NW, #600 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 536–1740 Email: egoodman@bakerlaw.com TERMINATED: 04/07/2021

Elizabeth A. Green

BakerHostetler LLP 200 S. Orange Ave. #2300 Orlando, FL 32801 (407) 649–4000 Email: egreen@bakerlaw.com

Robert A. Julian

Robert A. JulianBaker and Hostetler LLP

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/12/22 Entered: 05/12/22 10:44:09 Page 6 of 83

Transamerica Pyramid Center 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94111–2806 (415) 569-2600 Email: rjulian@bakerlaw.com

Elyssa S. Kates

Baker & Hostetler LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10111 (212) 589 - 4227Email: ekates@bakerlaw.com

Kody D. L. Kleber

Baker & Hostetler LLP 811 Main St., #1100 Houston, TX 77005 (713) 703 - 1315

Email: kkleber@bakerlaw.com

John H. MacConaghy

MacConaghy and Barnier 645 1st St. W #D Sonoma, CA 95476 (707) 935-3205

Email: macclaw@macbarlaw.com

Kimberly S. Morris

Baker & Hostetler LLP Transamerica Pyramid Center 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 659-2600 Email: kmorris@bakerlaw.com

David J. Richardson

Baker & Hostetler, LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd., 14th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310) 442–8858 Email: drichardson@bakerlaw.com

David B. Rivkin, Jr.

Baker and Hostetler LLP 1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W., #1100 Washington, DC 20036 $(202)\ 861-1731$ Email: drivkin@bakerlaw.com

Jorian L. Rose

Baker & Hostetler LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10111 (212) 589-4200 Email: irose@bakerlaw.com

Eric E. Sagerman

Baker and Hostetler LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd. #1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310) 442–8875

Email: esagerman@bakerlaw.com

7

of 83

Catherine E. Woltering

Baker & Hostetler LLP Key Tower, 127 Public Sq., #2000 Cleveland, OH 44114–1214 (614) 462–2677 Email: cwoltering@bakerlaw.com TERMINATED: 04/01/2020

Filing Date	#	Docket Text		
03/08/2022	11999	Memorandum Decision Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and the California Department of Water Resources (RE: related document(s)11887 Motion to Reject Lease or Executory Contract filed by Interested Party California Department of Water Resources, 11896 Motion Miscellaneous Relief filed by Debtor PG&E Corporation). (lp) (Entered: 03/08/2022)		
03/08/2022	12000	Order Granting California Department of Water Resources' Motion For Order Determining That The Castle Rock Agreement With PG&E Cannot Be Assumed And Claim No. 78104 Be Paid (Related Doc # 11887) (lp) (Entered: 03/08/2022)		
03/08/2022	12001	Order Denying Debtors' Motion For Entry Of An Order Modifying Plan Injunction And Compelling Arbitration Of Claim Of California Department Of Water Resources (Related Doc # 11896) (lp) (Entered: 03/08/2022)		
03/21/2022	12054	Order Denying Motion to Intervene by City of Santa Clara, DBA Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power Agency (Related Doc # 12024) (lp) (Entered: 03/22/2022)		
04/22/2022	12207	Order Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and California Department of Water Resources (RE: related document(s)11887 Motion to Reject Lease or Executory Contract filed by Interested Party California Department of Water Resources). (lp) (Entered: 04/22/2022)		
05/05/2022	12310	Notice of Appeal and Statement of Election to Have Appeal Heard by United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Fee Amount \$ 298. (RE: related document(s)11999 Memorandum Decision, 12000 Order on Motion to Reject Lease or Executory Contract, 12001 Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief, 12054 Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief, 12207 Order). Appellant Designation due by 05/23/2022. Transmission of Record to District Court due by 06/6/2022. Statement of Issues due by 05/23/2022. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) Filed by Creditors City of Santa Clara dba Silicon Valley Power, Northern California Power Agency (Mouzes, Thomas) (Entered: 05/05/2022)		
05/06/2022	12322	Courts Certificate of Mailing. Number of notices mailed: 18 (RE: related document(s)12310 Notice of Appeal and Statement of Election). (dc) (Entered: 05/06/2022)		
05/12/2022	12366	Certificate of Service of Sonia Akter Regarding Notice of Appeal and Statement of Election to Have Appeal Heard by United States District Court for the Northern District of California Filed by Other Prof. Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC (related document(s)12310 Notice of Appeal and Statement of Election). (Malo, David) (Entered: 05/12/2022)		

Entered on Docket March 08, 2022

EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: March 8, 2022

Clevis Montale.

DENNIS MONTALI U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ed

MEMORANDUM DECISION REGARDING DISPUTE BETWEEN DEBTORS AND THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

On March 2, 2022, the court heard oral argument regarding California Department of Water Resources' Motion for Order Determining that The Castle Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and that The Department of Water Resources' Claim No. 78104 be Paid (the "DWR Motion") (Dkt. 11887) and the Motion of

-1-

the Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan Injunction and Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources (the "Debtors' Motion") (Dkt. 11896), together with the accompanying memoranda, declarations and other filings.

2.3

Having considered the matters fully, the court concludes that the DWR Motion should be GRANTED and the Debtors' Motion should be DENIED.

Long before these bankruptcy cases were filed, the dispute between these opposing parties was identified and framed, and either side could have initiated the arbitration procedures of the 1984 Cotenancy Agreement ("Agreement"). Neither did. Even after the petitions were filed on January 29, 2019, that procedure was available, either by DWR, perhaps after first seeking relief from stay, or by Debtors. Again, neither pursued that procedure.

All that changed when the Debtors' Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan") was negotiated, filed, considered and confirmed. As pointed out by DWR, specific provisions were inserted into the Plan and the Order Confirming the Plan (the "OCP") to deal with and reserve for later resolution very numerous open issues relating to executory contracts between Debtors and many governmental agencies, including DWR.

Among the most relevant of them are:

34. Determination of Cure Disputes.

a. Pursuant to Section 8.2(c) of the Plan, in the event of an unresolved dispute regarding (i) any Cure Amount, (ii) the ability of the Reorganized Debtors or any assignee to provide "adequate assurance of future performance" (within the meaning of section 365 of the

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

26

2728

Bankruptcy Code) under the executory contract or unexpired lease to be assumed, or (iii) any other matter pertaining to assumption, assumption and assignment, or the Cure Amounts required by section 365(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code (each, a "Cure Dispute"), such Cure Dispute shall be resolved by a Final Order of the Court, which may be entered after the Effective Date. (emphasis added).

67. Governmental Performance Obligations.

d. Notwithstanding anything in this Confirmation Order, the Plan, or the Plan Documents, the listing of a matter as an "executory contract" or an "unexpired lease" in the Debtors' schedules or Plan Documents (a "Potentially Assumed Contract/Lease") is without prejudice to any contention by any Governmental Unit that the matter is not in fact an executory contract or unexpired lease as set forth in section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. With respect to any Cure Amount for a Potentially Assumed Contract/Lease for which the United States or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the State of California (collectively, the "Governmental Parties") is listed as the Non-Debtor Counterparty, all parties reserve all rights to dispute such Cure Amount. If any Governmental Party disputes (i) that any Potentially Assumed Contract/Lease is in fact an executory contract or unexpired lease or (ii) any Cure Amount, such Governmental Party shall have no later than ninety (90) days after the Confirmation Date (or such later date as may be mutually agreed upon between the applicable Governmental Party and the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors) to file and serve an objection setting forth such dispute, and any such dispute shall be resolved by the Bankruptcy Court. (Emphasis added).

DWR is adamant that after it gave its notice of termination of its participation in the Agreement on June 30, 2018, effective one year later, there was nothing left for it to do or for Debtors to assume. All that remains is for Debtors to pay a refund of \$101,026.75, now reflected in Proof of Claim No. 78104 that is presumptively allowed and has not been the subject of an objection.

Debtors take a contrary view, reflected as early as when the court was considering confirmation of the Plan. Debtors filed their Schedule of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases to be Assumed Pursuant to the Plan and Proposed Cure Amounts attached to the Plan Supplement as Exhibit B ("Cure Notice") (Dkt. 7037).

That lengthy schedule included the Agreement. Thus, even to the present date, Debtors maintain that the Agreement was subject to assumption because it was not rejected, and the resolution of the remaining dispute that is the subject of the present motions is part and parcel of the entire bundle of rights and obligations of the parties that must be resolved through arbitration.

Given the very specific attention given to matters that plainly include the present dispute, the court is satisfied that the Plan and the OCP reserving jurisdiction in this court to resolve them prevail over those relied on by Debtors to require the court to order arbitration.

In In re Thorpe Insulation Co., 671 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 2012), the court established the principles that guide bankruptcy courts in dealing with arbitration provisions versus bankruptcy alternatives. Those principles convince this court to exercise its discretion not to order arbitration at present.

Thorpe involved a very complex reorganization of an asbestos mass torts case and the implementation of 11 U.S.C. § 524(g). It was a dispute of massive proportions and was obviously quite critical to the outcome of the bankruptcy as a whole.

In contrast, Debtors would not have been in bankruptcy at all but for the tragic wildfires of 2015, 2017 and 2018, none of which have anything to do with the present dispute. It is easy to assume that had those fires not occurred, no bankruptcy court would have been called upon to deal with the present dispute with DWR.

2.1

2.3

2.4

The determination of whether the Agreement is an executory contract that may be assumed, and if so under what circumstances and leading to what consequences, is clearly a core matter for determination unless the arbitration option is more appropriate. The core question is not a dispositive factor, but one that should be considered. Thorpe taught that [i]n core proceedings, by contrast, the bankruptcy court at least when it sees a conflict with bankruptcy law, has discretion to deny enforcement of an arbitration agreement." Thorpe 671 F.3d at 1021 (citations omitted).

The Ninth Circuit agreed with other circuit courts that permit bankruptcy court discretion to decline enforcement or otherwise applicable arbitration provisions "only if arbitration would conflict the underlying purposes of the Bankruptcy Code." Id. (citations omitted). Had either party initiated arbitration after DWR gave its notice of termination in 2018 but before the bankruptcy, there is no doubt that such course would have to be followed. Even if either party had sought to do so after bankruptcy, but before consideration of the Plan, the same result appears likely.

Regardless of what could have happened, Debtors chose to reserve the disposition of this dispute as a post-Confirmation

matter as indicated above. While this court is not unmindful of the tremendous complexity of the reorganization effort, and even the complexities encountered apart from the wildfire problems, Debtors still made an election of how best to proceed. They could have excluded the Agreement from the list of matters to be disposed of later but did not. Thus, the deferral of resolving the issue through the plan mechanisms was a conscious choice.

Thorpe stated:

2.1

2.3

2.4

"Arbitration of a creditor's claim against a debtor, even if conducted expeditiously, prevents the coordinated resolution of debtor-creditor rights and can delay the confirmation of a plan of reorganization."

Id. at 1023.

There was no delay in consideration of the Plan and its subsequent confirmation and implementation. The court cannot ignore that conscious choice of the Debtors to proceed under the procedures and reservations they established and which DWR and other governmental agencies responded by their reservation of rights as noted.

Even though this issue is presented to the court nearly two years after the Plan was confirmed, there is still a risk that an outcome achieved via arbitration, at least on the issues of whether the Agreement was to the reserved assumption provisions of the Plan at all, and whether DWR could be required to pay anything after it gave its notice of termination, would conflict with those policies articulated by *Thorpe* and memorialized in the Plan and the OCP.

Under the circumstances presented, and consistent with the admonitions of *Thorpe*, the court prefers to exercise its

discretion and keep that dispute here. If the outcome is as DWR hopes, the matter is over, subject only to the possibility of appellate review. If the outcome favors Debtors, the question of liquidation of the <u>amount</u> of damages to be paid by DWR <u>may</u> be more appropriately determined through arbitration.

2.1

2.3

2.4

There are no material facts in dispute regarding whether DWR should or should not be ordered to pay its share of the net loss upon termination of the Agreement. DWR looks to Section 14.5 of the Agreement to insulate it from such a charge because the other parties continued to operate under it. Debtors rely on Section 14.7 to hold DWR responsible for its share for termination in the future.

Collateral to that, and of relatively minor importance, is whether Claim No. 78104 should be paid. So far Debtors have not asserted any substantive objection to it, but maintain that if they prevail on the termination issue that would represent little more than a minor offset in DWR's favor.

It is now time to put this dispute to rest. Debtors have until March 25, 2022, to file a memorandum, not to exceed twenty pages and limited to this discrete issue described above, in support of their position. DWR has until April 8, 2022, to file a reply memorandum, not to exceed twenty pages and similarly limited. After that the matter will stand submitted unless the court decides to consider oral argument.

If the decision is that DWR prevails, then that should be the end of it, subject only to Debtors paying Claim No. 78104. If Debtors prevail on that discrete issue, the court will revisit the question of the amount DWR's future liability upon

termination should be determined through arbitration or via a damages trial in this court.

The court is concurrently issuing orders consistent with this Memorandum Decision.

END OF MEMORANDUM DECISION

-8-

Entered on Docket March 08, 2022

EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: March 8, 2022

9

-1-

Clevis Montal.

DENNIS MONTALI U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re:)	Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088-DM
PG&E CORPORATION,)	
)	Chapter 11
- and -)	
)	Jointly Administered
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)	
Reorganized Debtors.))	
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation)	
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and)	
Electric Company)	
☐ Affects both Debtors)	
* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).)))	

ORDER GRANTING CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' MOTION FOR ORDER DETERMINING THAT THE CASTLE ROCK AGREEMENT WITH PG&E CANNOT BE ASSUMED AND CLAIM NO. 78104 BE PAID

For the reasons stated in the Memorandum Decision Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and The California Department of Water Resources being issued concurrently, California Department of Water Resources' Motion for Order Determining that The Castle

Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and that The Department of Water Resources' Claim No. 78104 be Paid (Dkt. 11887) is GRANTED. **END OF ORDER**

Entered on Docket March 08, 2022

EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: March 8, 2022

Τ. Ο

-1-

Clevis Montal.

DENNIS MONTALI U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re:)	Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088-DM
PG&E CORPORATION,)	
)	Chapter 11
- and -)	
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)	Jointly Administered
Reorganized Debtors.)	
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation)	
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and)	
Electric Company)	
Affects both Debtors)	
* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).)	

ORDER DENYING DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER MODIFYING PLAN INJUNCTION AND COMPELLING ARBITRATION OF CLAIM OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

For the reasons stated in the Memorandum Decision Regarding
Dispute Between Debtors and The California Department of Water
Resources being issued concurrently, the Motion of the
Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan

Injunction and Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources (Dkt. 11896) is DENIED. **END OF ORDER**

Entered on Docket
March 22, 2022
EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK

EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: March 21, 2022

Clevis Montal.

DENNIS MONTALI U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Bankruptcy Case
No. 19-30088-DM
Chapter 11
Jointly Administered
1

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO INTERVENE BY CITY OF SANTA CLARA, DBA SILICON VALLEY POWER AND NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY

On March 15, 2022, interested parties City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power ("SVP") and Northern California Power Agency ("NCPA") filed a Notice of Appearance and Ex Parte Application for Order Authorizing City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power Agency to Intervene and File a Response to California Department of Water

-1-

Resources' Motion for Order Determining that the Castle Rock

Agreement Cannot Be Assumed and that the Department of Water

Resources Claim No. 78014 be Paid (the "Intervention Motion")

(Dkt. 12024). On March 17, 2022 the California Department of

Water Resources' ("DWR") filed an Opposition to the Intervention

Motion (Dkt. 12035).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

28

The Intervention Motion seeks to enter the fray of a longstanding and nearly adjudicated dispute by filing a brief according to the schedule set by the court's Memorandum Decision Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and the California Department of Water Resources (Dkt. 11999) (the "Memo"). The Memo detailed the court's decision to grant DWR's Motion for Order Determining that the Castle Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and Claim No. 78104 be Paid ("DWR Motion") (Dkt. 11887) and subsequently set a further briefing schedule for DWR and Debtors. SVP and NCPA were both served notice of the DWR Motion when it was first filed on February 1, 2022. The Intervention Motion makes clear that SVP and NCPA conferred with Debtors and deliberately chose not to respond to the substance of the DWR Motion in the belief that Debtors would prevail in their Motion of the Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan Injunction and Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources (Dkt. 11896), which the court denied.

In the related dispute between Debtors and DWR, DWR acknowledged the existence of an agreement among it, SVP and NCPA (but not Debtors) known as the Layoff Agreement.

Responding to the Reorganized Debtors' Motion for Order

Modifying Plan Injunction and Compelling Arbitration (Dkt. 11896), DWR stated:

Whether DWR effectively terminated its interest in the Castle Rock Agreement is a separate issue that can be determined without reference to the Layoff Agreement. To the extent that NCPA and SVP believe they may have any cognizable action against DWR, they should pursue it in state court rather than attempt to manipulate the bankruptcy court proceeding to seek relief through the Executory Contract and Cure Dispute and claims allowance process. (Dkt. 11942 at 14).

2.3

In determining whether a motion to intervene is timely, courts consider three factors: "(1) the stage of the proceedings; (2) whether the parties would be prejudiced; and (3) the reason for any delay in moving to intervene." Nw. Forest Res. Council v. Glickman, 82 F.3d 825, 836 (9th Cir. 1996), as amended on denial of reh'g (May 30, 1996). As noted above, SVP and NCPA chose not to participate in the DWR Motion for the past month and a half while DWR made clear its interest in determining the merits of the DWR Motion as to the Debtors from the beginning. They remained on the sideline, casting their fate with the Reorganized Debtors. To allow them to have a second chance now would not be fair to DWR.

The proceedings are nearly over, DWR would be prejudiced in having previously defaulted parties enter the fray, and the reason for the delay is entirely the choice of SVP and NCPA.

All three factors weigh in favor of denying the Intervention

Motion. Even without intervention, DWR, SVP, and NCPA will

still be able to determine remaining rights under the Layoff Agreement in another forum.

Accordingly, the Intervention Motion is DENIED.

END OF ORDER

-4-

COURT SERVICE LIST

2 ECF Recipients

-5-

Entered on Docket

April 22, 2022
EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



1	ROBERT BONTA, SBN 202668 Attorney General of California	Signed and Filed: April 22, 2022
2	DANETTE VALDEZ, SBN 141780 ANNADEL ALMENDRAS, SBN 19206 '	. 0
3	Supervising Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	Clemis Montal.
5	Telephone: (415) 510-3367 Fax: (415) 703-5480	ENNIS MONTALI
6		S. Bankruptcy Judge
7	PAUL J. PASCUZZI, SBN 148810 NICHOLAS L. KOHLMEYER, SBN 29908	27
8	FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD WILLOUGHBY PASCUZZI & RIOS LLP	
9	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250 Sacramento, CA 95814	
10	Telephone: (916) 329-7400 Fax: (916) 329-7435	
11	ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com nkohlmeyer@ffwplaw.com	
12 13	Attorneys for California Department of Wat Resources, by and through the State Water I	
14	UNITED STATES	BANKRUPTCY COURT
15	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN FRAN	ICISCO DIVISION
17 18	In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)
19	PG&E CORPORATION	Chapter 11
20	- and —	(Lead Case)
21	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,	(Jointly Administered)
22	Reorganized Debtors.	ORDER REGARDING DISPUTE BETWEEN DEBTORS AND CALIFORNIA
23		DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
24		
25	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation	Date: April 13, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m.
26	☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Ctrm: 17 Judge: Dennis Montali
27	✓ Affects both Debtors	
28		_

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 Determining that The Castle Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and that The Department of Water Resources' Claim No. 78104 be Paid (the "DWR Motion") (Dkt. No. 11887) and the Motion of the Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan Injunction and Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources (the "Debtors' Motion") (Dkt. No. 11896) in the above captioned chapter 11 cases; and this Court having issued its Memorandum Decision Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and the California Department of Water Resources (Dkt. No. 11999) granting the DWR Motion and denying the Debtors' Motion by orders at Docket Nos. 12000 and 12001, respectively, and setting a further briefing schedule; and the Court having considered and denied the Notice of Appearance and Ex Parte Application for Order Authorizing City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power Agency to Intervene and File a Response to California Department of Water Resources' Motion for Order Determining that The Castle Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and that The Department of Water Resources' Claim No. 78104 be Paid (Dkt. 12024 and 12054); and the Court having considered the further briefing by the Debtors (Dkt. No. 12076) and DWR (Dkt. Nos. 12129 and 12129-1); and the Court having issued its Tentative Ruling Re Dispute Between Debtors and the California Department of Water Resources ("Tentative Ruling") (Dkt. No. 12147); and the Court having held hearings on March 2, 2022, and April 13, 2022, to consider the arguments and objections of the parties; and this Court, for the reasons stated by this Court on the record at the hearings, having determined that the ruling in the Court's Tentative Ruling should become the final ruling, and after due deliberation and sufficient good cause appearing therefor,

Before the Court is the California Department of Water Resources' Motion for Order

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The issue of DWR's liability for removal costs under the Castle Rock Agreement was properly before the Court based on the DWR Motion, the Debtors' Motion and the other pleadings and argument made to the Court in these proceedings;
 - 2. There are no material facts in dispute;

Capitalized terms used but not otherwise herein defined shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the DWR Motion.

1	3. DWR's interpretation of the applicable sections of the Castle Rock Agreement is
2	correct;
3	4. DWR does not owe any estimated future removal costs or anything else to Debtors
4	and the remaining cotenants (City of Santa Clara dba Silicon Valley Power and Northern California
5	Power Agency) under the Castle Rock Agreement; and
6	5. There are no damages to be assessed, by this Court or by arbitration, under that
7	agreement.
8	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that, except as to the rulings made herein, the Court
9	is not making any ruling as to any dispute between DWR on the one hand, and the City of Santa
10	Clara dba Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power Agency on the other, under the
11	Transmission Services Agreement between those parties, which issues shall be dealt with outside
12	this Court.
13	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the Court retains jurisdiction to hear and
14	determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement
15	of this Order. This Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.
16	APPROVED AS TO FORM
17	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP
18	Jana Vim. Attamaya far Dahtara
19	Jane Kim, Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors
20	**END OF ORDER**
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	THOMAS G. MOUZES (SBN 99446)			
	ROBERT D. SWANSON (SBN 162816)			
2	MICHAEL E. CHASE (SBN 214506)			
3	BOUTIN JONES INC.			
	555 Capitol Mall, Fifteenth Floor			
4	Sacramento, CA 95814			
5	Telephone: (916) 321-4444 Email: tmouzes@boutinjones.com			
	rswanson@boutinjones.com			
6	mchase@boutinjones.com			
7	Attangue for Conditors and Parties in Interest			
	Attorneys for Creditors and Parties-in-Interest CITY OF SANTA CLARA, dba			
8	SILICON VALLEY POWER, and			
9	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY			
	TVORTILLALV CALAIT ON ER AGEAVE			
10	LISA S. GAST (pro hac vice)			
11	DUNCAN, WEINBERG, GENZER & PEMBROKE, P.C.			
12	1667 K Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20006			
12	Telephone: (202) 791-3601			
13	Email: <u>lsg@dwgp.com</u>			
14	Attorney for Creditor and Party-in-Interest			
	CITY OF SANTA CLARA, dba SILICON VALLEY POWER			
15				
16	JANE LUCKHARDT (SBN 141919)			
17	General Counsel			
1 /	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY 651 Commerce Drive			
18	Roseville, CA 95678-6411			
10	Phone: 916.781.3636			
19	Email: Jane.Luckhardt@ncpa.com			
20				
21	Attorney for Creditor and Party-in-Interest NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY			
21	NORTHERIV CALIFORIVIA I OWER AGENCI			
22				
23	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
24	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
25	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
26	In re) Case Nos. 19-30088 DM (Lead Case) 19-30089 DM			
27	PG&E CORPORATION (
27	-and- Chapter 11			
28	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC 'Jointly Administered			
	COMPANY,			

1	Debtors.)	
2	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation	OF ELECTION TO HAVE APPEAL HEARD NOTICE OF APPEAL AND STATEMENT OF ELECTION TO HAVE APPEAL HEARD	
3	☐Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company	 BY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 	
4	☑ Affects both Debtors.)	
5	* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case	(Page 12001) [Related to Dkt. Nos. 11999, 12000, 12001, 12054, 12207]	
6	No. 19-30088 DM		
7))	
8))	
9))	
10)	
11))	
12))	
13))	
14)	
15)	
16)	
17	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Cit	y Of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power, and	
18	Northern California Power Agency hereby bot	h appeal, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1), from the	
19	Order Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and California Department of Water Resources [Dkt.		
20	No. 12207], entered on April 22, 2022, a copy	of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Final	
21	Order"), and each of the following interlocuto	ory orders and decisions (collectively with the Final	
22	Order, the "Orders"):		
23			
24	Department of Water Resource	ling Dispute Between Debtors and the California s [Dkt. No. 11999], entered on March 8, 2022, a copy	
25	of which is attached hereto as I	<u>Exhibit B</u> ;	
26 27	U V I	partment Of Water Resources' Motion For Order ock Agreement With PG&E Cannot Be Assumed And	
28		No. 12000], entered on March 8, 2022, a copy of	

 Order Denying Motion of the Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan Injunction and Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources [Dkt. No. 12001], entered on March 8, 2022, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit D</u>; and

• Order Denying Motion to Intervene by City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power Agency [Dkt. No. 12054], entered on March 22, 2022, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit E**.

City Of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power, and Northern California Power Agency are creditors and parties in interest. 11 U.S.C. §§ 101(10), 1009.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(c)(1), City Of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power, and Northern California Power Agency elect to have the appeal heard by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California rather than by the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel for the Ninth Circuit.

The names of the parties to the Orders and Memorandum Decision and the name, address, and telephone number of their respective attorneys, are:

APPELLANT

Party	Counsel
Appellants	Thomas G. Mouzes (SBN 99446)
¥	Robert D. Swanson (SBN 162816)
	Michael E. Chase (SBN 214506)
City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon	BOUTIN JONES INC.
Valley Power, and Northern	555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500
California Power Agency	Sacramento, CA 95814
	Telephone: (916) 321-4444
	Email: tmouzes@boutinjones.com
	rswanson@boutinjones.com
	mchase@boutinjones.com
	Lisa S. Gast
	DUNCAN, WEINBERG, GENZER & PEMBROKE, P.C.
	1667 K Street NW, Suite 700
	Washington, DC 20006
	Telephone: (202) 791-3601
	Email: <u>lsg@dwgp.com</u>
>	JANE LUCKHARDT (SBN 141919)
	General Counsel
	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY

1	
2	
3	

651 Commerce Drive Roseville, CA 95678-6411 Phone: 916.781.3636 Email: Jane.Luckhardt@ncpa.com

APPELLEE

Party

Appellee

Resources

California Department of Water

5

4

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Party Counsel **Other Interested Parties** PG&E Corporation ("PG&E Corp.") and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the "Utility"), as debtors and reorganized debtors (collectively, the "Debtors," or as reorganized pursuant to the Debtors' and Shareholder

Proponents' Joint Chapter 11 Plan

Counsel

ROBERT BONTA Attorney General of California

DANETTE VALDEZ, SBN 141780 ANNADEL ALMENDRAS, SBN 192064

Supervising Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3367

Fax: (415) 703-5480

Email: Danette.Valdez@doj.ca.gov Annadel.Almendras@doj.ca.gov

PAUL J. PASCUZZI, SBN 148810 NICHOLAS L. KOHLMEYER, SBN 299087 FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD WILLOUGHBY PASCUZZI & RIOS LLP

500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 329-7400 Fax: (916) 329-7435

Email: ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com nkohlmeyer@ffwplaw.com

OTHER PARTIES TO THE ORDERS AND MEMORANDUM DECISION

Richard W. Slack (pro hac vice)

Theodore E. Tsekerides (pro hac vice) Jessica Liou (pro hac vice)

Matthew Goren (pro hac vice)

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10153-0119 Telephone: (212) 310-8000

Fax: (212) 310-8007

Email: Richard.slack@weil.com Theodore.tsekerides@weil.com

Doc# 12369-1 Fileded/5065/2222 Entreteded/5065/222110244709 Pageg4 se: 19-30088 320 fo 3 (83)

1	of Reorganization Dated	<u>Jessica.liou@weil.com</u>
2	June 19, 2020, the "Reorganized Debtors")	Matthew.goren@weil.com
3)	Jane Kim
		David A. Taylor Thomas B. Rupp
4		KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP
5		650 California Street, Suite 1900
6		San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 496-6723
7		Fax: (415) 636-9251
8		Email: jkim@kbkllp.com dtaylor@kbkllp.com
		trupp@kbkllp.com
9		
10	DATED: May 5, 2022.	BOUTIN JONES INC.
11		
12		By: <u>/s/ Thomas G. Mouzes</u> Thomas G. Mouzes
13		Robert D. Swanson
14		Michael E. Chase
		-and-
15		Lisa S. Gast
16		DUNCAN, WEINBERG, GENZER & PEMBROKE, P.C.
17		
18		Attorney for Creditor and Party-in-Interest, CITY OF SANTA CLARA, dba SILICON VALLEY
19		POWER
20		-and-
21		Jane Luckhardt General Counsel
22		NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY
23		Attorney for Creditor and Party-in-Interest
24		NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY
25		
26		
27		
28		

Entered on Docket April 22, 2022 EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT



	NC	ORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
1	ROBERT BONTA, SBN 202668 Attorney General of California	Signed and Filed: April 22, 2022	
2	DANETTE VALDEZ, SBN 141780 ANNADEL ALMENDRAS, SBN 19206	Oligination (principle)	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	10 4	
4	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3367	Clerino Martale.	
5	Fax: (415) 703-5480	DENNIS MONTALI	
6	Annadel.Almendras@doj.ca.gov	U.S. Bankruptcy Judge	
7	PAUL J. PASCUZZI, SBN 148810 NICHOLAS L. KOHLMEYER, SBN 2990	087	
8	FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALÓ WILLOUGHBY PASCUZZI & RIOS LLI		
9	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250 Sacramento, CA 95814		
10	Telephone: (916) 329-7400 Fax: (916) 329-7435		
11	ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com nkohlmeyer@ffwplaw.com		
12	Attorneys for California Department of Wa		
13	Resources, by and through the State Water Project		
14	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRA	NCISCO DIVISION	
17	In re:	Bankruptcy Case	
18	PG&E CORPORATION	No. 19-30088 (DM)	
19	- and —	Chapter 11 (Lead Case)	
20	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,	(Jointly Administered)	
21	Reorganized Debtors.	ORDER REGARDING DISPUTE	
23	Reorganized Debtors.	BETWEEN DEBTORS AND CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	
24		DEFINITION WITER RESOURCES	
25		Date: April 13, 2022	
26	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation☐ Affects Pacific Gas and	Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 17	
27	Electric Company	Judge: Dennis Montali	
28	Affects both Debtors		
-0			

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12207 Filed: 04/22/22 Entered: 04/22/22 15:43:46 Page 1 Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Fileided0506502222 Enlite te d0506502222110244709 Palgreg@

Before the Court is the California Department of Water Resources' Motion for Order 1 Determining that The Castle Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and that The 2 Department of Water Resources' Claim No. 78104 be Paid (the "DWR Motion") (Dkt. No. 11887) 3 and the Motion of the Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan Injunction and 4 Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources (the "Debtors' 5 Motion") (Dkt. No. 11896) in the above captioned chapter 11 cases; and this Court having issued 6 7 its Memorandum Decision Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and the California Department of Water Resources (Dkt. No. 11999) granting the DWR Motion and denying the Debtors' Motion by 8 9 orders at Docket Nos. 12000 and 12001, respectively, and setting a further briefing schedule; and the Court having considered and denied the Notice of Appearance and Ex Parte Application for 10 Order Authorizing City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power 11 Agency to Intervene and File a Response to California Department of Water Resources' Motion 12 for Order Determining that The Castle Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and that 13 14 The Department of Water Resources' Claim No. 78104 be Paid (Dkt. 12024 and 12054); and the 15 Court having considered the further briefing by the Debtors (Dkt. No. 12076) and DWR (Dkt. Nos. 12129 and 12129-1); and the Court having issued its Tentative Ruling Re Dispute Between Debtors 16 and the California Department of Water Resources ("Tentative Ruling") (Dkt. No. 12147); and the 17 Court having held hearings on March 2, 2022, and April 13, 2022, to consider the arguments and 18 19 objections of the parties; and this Court, for the reasons stated by this Court on the record at the hearings, having determined that the ruling in the Court's Tentative Ruling should become the final 20 21 ruling, and after due deliberation and sufficient good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. The issue of DWR's liability for removal costs under the Castle Rock Agreement was properly before the Court based on the DWR Motion, the Debtors' Motion and the other pleadings and argument made to the Court in these proceedings;
 - 2. There are no material facts in dispute;

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12207 Filed: 04/22/22 Entered: 04/22/22 15:43:46 Page 2

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise herein defined shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the DWR Motion.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 File de 05/05/22/22 Entrete de 05/05/22/2110244709 Pargreg 0

Entered: 04/22/22 15:43:46

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12207 Filed: 04/22/22

Signed and Filed: March 8, 2022

DENNIS MONTALI U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

Bankruptcy Case

No. 19-30088-DM

Jointly Administered

Chapter 11

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Osanis Montales

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,

- and -

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)

 \square Affects PG&E Corporation

* All papers shall be filed in

the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).

☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company

□ Affects both Debtors

Reorganized Debtors.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

-1-

MEMORANDUM DECISION REGARDING DISPUTE BETWEEN DEBTORS AND THE

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

California Department of Water Resources' Motion for Order

Determining that The Castle Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be

78104 be Paid (the "DWR Motion") (Dkt. 11887) and the Motion of

Assumed and that The Department of Water Resources' Claim No.

On March 2, 2022, the court heard oral argument regarding

39

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11999 Filed: 03/08/22 Entered: 03/08/22 15:41:08 Door#11223169-1Filede05/035/122/22EnEntertede05/035/122/2121.1202.447.09Pagagle1

390f0883

the Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan Injunction and Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources (the "Debtors' Motion") (Dkt. 11896), together with the accompanying memoranda, declarations and other filings.

Having considered the matters fully, the court concludes that the DWR Motion should be GRANTED and the Debtors' Motion should be DENIED.

Long before these bankruptcy cases were filed, the dispute between these opposing parties was identified and framed, and either side could have initiated the arbitration procedures of the 1984 Cotenancy Agreement ("Agreement"). Neither did. Even after the petitions were filed on January 29, 2019, that procedure was available, either by DWR, perhaps after first seeking relief from stay, or by Debtors. Again, neither pursued that procedure.

All that changed when the Debtors' Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan") was negotiated, filed, considered and confirmed. As pointed out by DWR, specific provisions were inserted into the Plan and the Order Confirming the Plan (the "OCP") to deal with and reserve for later resolution very numerous open issues relating to executory contracts between Debtors and many governmental agencies, including DWR.

Among the most relevant of them are:

34. <u>Determination of Cure Disputes.</u>

a. Pursuant to Section 8.2(c) of the Plan, in the event of an unresolved dispute regarding (i) any Cure Amount, (ii) the ability of the Reorganized Debtors or any assignee to provide "adequate assurance of future performance" (within the meaning of section 365 of the

-2-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Bankruptcy Code) under the executory contract or unexpired lease to be assumed, or (iii) any other matter pertaining to assumption, assumption and assignment, or the Cure Amounts required by section 365(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code (each, a "Cure Dispute"), such Cure Dispute shall be resolved by a Final Order of the Court, which may be entered after the Effective Date. (emphasis added).

67. Governmental Performance Obligations.

d. Notwithstanding anything in this Confirmation Order, the Plan, or the Plan Documents, the listing of a matter as an "executory contract" or an "unexpired lease" in the Debtors' schedules or Plan Documents (a "Potentially Assumed Contract/Lease") is without prejudice to any contention by any Governmental Unit that the matter is not in fact an executory contract or unexpired lease as set forth in section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. With respect to any Cure Amount for a Potentially Assumed Contract/Lease for which the United States or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the State of California (collectively, the "Governmental Parties") is listed as the Non-Debtor Counterparty, all parties reserve all rights to dispute such Cure Amount. If any Governmental Party disputes (i) that any Potentially Assumed Contract/Lease is in fact an executory contract or unexpired lease or (ii) any Cure Amount, such Governmental Party shall have no later than ninety (90) days after the Confirmation Date (or such later date as may be mutually agreed upon between the applicable Governmental Party and the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors) to file and serve an objection setting forth such dispute, and any such dispute shall be resolved by the Bankruptcy Court. (Emphasis added).

DWR is adamant that after it gave its notice of termination of its participation in the Agreement on June 30, 2018, effective one year later, there was nothing left for it to do or for Debtors to assume. All that remains is for Debtors to pay a refund of \$101,026.75, now reflected in Proof of Claim No. 78104 that is presumptively allowed and has not been the subject of an objection.

28

Debtors take a contrary view, reflected as early as when the court was considering confirmation of the Plan. Debtors filed their Schedule of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases to be Assumed Pursuant to the Plan and Proposed Cure Amounts attached to the Plan Supplement as Exhibit B ("Cure Notice") (Dkt. 7037).

That lengthy schedule included the Agreement. Thus, even to the present date, Debtors maintain that the Agreement was subject to assumption because it was not rejected, and the resolution of the remaining dispute that is the subject of the present motions is part and parcel of the entire bundle of rights and obligations of the parties that must be resolved through arbitration.

Given the very specific attention given to matters that plainly include the present dispute, the court is satisfied that the Plan and the OCP reserving jurisdiction in this court to resolve them prevail over those relied on by Debtors to require the court to order arbitration.

In In re Thorpe Insulation Co., 671 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 2012), the court established the principles that guide bankruptcy courts in dealing with arbitration provisions versus bankruptcy alternatives. Those principles convince this court to exercise its discretion not to order arbitration at present.

Thorpe involved a very complex reorganization of an asbestos mass torts case and the implementation of 11 U.S.C. § 524(g). It was a dispute of massive proportions and was obviously quite critical to the outcome of the bankruptcy as a whole.

In contrast, Debtors would not have been in bankruptcy at all but for the tragic wildfires of 2015, 2017 and 2018, none of which have anything to do with the present dispute. It is easy to assume that had those fires not occurred, no bankruptcy court would have been called upon to deal with the present dispute with DWR.

2.5

The determination of whether the Agreement is an executory contract that may be assumed, and if so under what circumstances and leading to what consequences, is clearly a core matter for determination unless the arbitration option is more appropriate. The core question is not a dispositive factor, but one that should be considered. Thorpe taught that [i]n core proceedings, by contrast, the bankruptcy court at least when it sees a conflict with bankruptcy law, has discretion to deny enforcement of an arbitration agreement." Thorpe 671 F.3d at 1021 (citations omitted).

The Ninth Circuit agreed with other circuit courts that permit bankruptcy court discretion to decline enforcement or otherwise applicable arbitration provisions "only if arbitration would conflict the underlying purposes of the Bankruptcy Code." Id. (citations omitted). Had either party initiated arbitration after DWR gave its notice of termination in 2018 but before the bankruptcy, there is no doubt that such course would have to be followed. Even if either party had sought to do so after bankruptcy, but before consideration of the Plan, the same result appears likely.

Regardless of what could have happened, Debtors chose to reserve the disposition of this dispute as a post-Confirmation

matter as indicated above. While this court is not unmindful of the tremendous complexity of the reorganization effort, and even the complexities encountered apart from the wildfire problems, Debtors still made an election of how best to proceed. They could have excluded the Agreement from the list of matters to be disposed of later but did not. Thus, the deferral of resolving the issue through the plan mechanisms was a conscious choice.

Thorpe stated:

"Arbitration of a creditor's claim against a debtor, even if conducted expeditiously, prevents the coordinated resolution of debtor-creditor rights and can delay the confirmation of a plan of reorganization."

Id. at 1023.

There was no delay in consideration of the Plan and its subsequent confirmation and implementation. The court cannot ignore that conscious choice of the Debtors to proceed under the procedures and reservations they established and which DWR and other governmental agencies responded by their reservation of rights as noted.

Even though this issue is presented to the court nearly two years after the Plan was confirmed, there is still a risk that an outcome achieved via arbitration, at least on the issues of whether the Agreement was to the reserved assumption provisions of the Plan at all, and whether DWR could be required to pay anything after it gave its notice of termination, would conflict with those policies articulated by *Thorpe* and memorialized in the Plan and the OCP.

Under the circumstances presented, and consistent with the admonitions of *Thorpe*, the court prefers to exercise its

discretion and keep that dispute here. If the outcome is as DWR hopes, the matter is over, subject only to the possibility of appellate review. If the outcome favors Debtors, the question of liquidation of the <u>amount</u> of damages to be paid by DWR <u>may</u> be more appropriately determined through arbitration.

There are no material facts in dispute regarding whether DWR should or should not be ordered to pay its share of the net loss upon termination of the Agreement. DWR looks to Section 14.5 of the Agreement to insulate it from such a charge because the other parties continued to operate under it. Debtors rely on Section 14.7 to hold DWR responsible for its share for termination in the future.

Collateral to that, and of relatively minor importance, is whether Claim No. 78104 should be paid. So far Debtors have not asserted any substantive objection to it, but maintain that if they prevail on the termination issue that would represent little more than a minor offset in DWR's favor.

It is now time to put this dispute to rest. Debtors have until March 25, 2022, to file a memorandum, not to exceed twenty pages and limited to this discrete issue described above, in support of their position. DWR has until April 8, 2022, to file a reply memorandum, not to exceed twenty pages and similarly limited. After that the matter will stand submitted unless the court decides to consider oral argument.

If the decision is that DWR prevails, then that should be the end of it, subject only to Debtors paying Claim No. 78104.

If Debtors prevail on that discrete issue, the court will revisit the question of the amount DWR's future liability upon

-7-

termination should be determined through arbitration or via a damages trial in this court.

The court is concurrently issuing orders consistent with this Memorandum Decision.

END OF MEMORANDUM DECISION

-8-

Entered on Docket
March 08, 2022
EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK

DENNIS MONTALI

EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: March 8, 2022

enis Martilo

U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re:)	Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088-DM
PG&E CORPORATION,)	NO. 19-30000-DM
- and -)	Chapter 11
)	Jointly Administered
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)	
Reorganized Debtors.)	
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation)	
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and)	
Electric Company X Affects both Debtors)	
Allects both bebtols)	
All papers shall be filed in The Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).)	
ine lead case, no. 19 source (BI)	()	

ORDER GRANTING CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' MOTION FOR ORDER DETERMINING THAT THE CASTLE ROCK AGREEMENT WITH PG&E CANNOT BE ASSUMED AND CLAIM NO. 78104 BE PAID

For the reasons stated in the Memorandum Decision Regarding
Dispute Between Debtors and The California Department of Water
Resources being issued concurrently, California Department of
Water Resources' Motion for Order Determining that The Castle

-1-

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12000 Filed: 03/08/22 Entered: 03/08/22 15:49:19 Page 1

Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and that The Department of Water Resources' Claim No. 78104 be Paid (Dkt. 11887) is GRANTED. **END OF ORDER** -2-

1	EXHIBIT D
2	(Order Denying PG&E Motion Dated March 8, 2022)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	4
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Entered on Docket March 08, 2022 EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: March 8, 2022

Osamin Montale.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,

- and -

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,

☐ Affects PG&E Corporation

* All papers shall be filed in

the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM)

☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company

 $oxed{oxed}$ Affects both Debtors

Reorganized Debtors.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

-1-

DENNIS MONTALI U.S. Bankruptcy Judge UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088-DM Chapter 11 Jointly Administered

ORDER DENYING DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER MODIFYING PLAN INJUNCTION AND COMPELLING ARBITRATION OF CLAIM OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

For the reasons stated in the Memorandum Decision Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and The California Department of Water Resources being issued concurrently, the Motion of the Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12001 Filed: 03/08/22 Entered: 03/08/22 15:53:36

Injunction and Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources (Dkt. 11896) is DENIED. **END OF ORDER** -2-

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12001 Filed: 03/08/22 Entered: 03/08/22 15:53:36 Page 2

19-30088 Doc# 1123109-1Filed: 05/05/122/22Enterted: 03/08/22 15:53:36 Page 2

52/03/83

Entered on Docket March 22, 2022 EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: March 21, 2022

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

-1-

erric Montale. **DENNIS MONTALI** U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re:)	Bankruptcy Case
PG&E CORPORATION,)	No. 19-30088-DM
·)	Chapter 11
- and -)	
)	Jointly Administered
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)	
)	
Reorganized Debtors.)	
)	
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation)	
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and)	
Electric Company)	
Affects both Debtors)	
)	
* All papers shall be filed in)	
the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).)	
the head case, wo. is soudd (bri).	١.	

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO INTERVENE BY CITY OF SANTA CLARA, DBA SILICON VALLEY POWER AND NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY

On March 15, 2022, interested parties City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power ("SVP") and Northern California Power Agency ("NCPA") filed a Notice of Appearance and Ex Parte Application for Order Authorizing City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power Agency to Intervene and File a Response to California Department of Water

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12054 Filed: 03/21/22 Entered: 03/22/22 11:01:51 Door#112231609-1Fileded5.005/222/22Enterteded5.005/222/2121.1202:447.09Pagagle6

54

Resources' Motion for Order Determining that the Castle Rock

Agreement Cannot Be Assumed and that the Department of Water

Resources Claim No. 78014 be Paid (the "Intervention Motion")

(Dkt. 12024). On March 17, 2022 the California Department of

Water Resources' ("DWR") filed an Opposition to the Intervention

Motion (Dkt. 12035).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

The Intervention Motion seeks to enter the fray of a longstanding and nearly adjudicated dispute by filing a brief according to the schedule set by the court's Memorandum Decision Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and the California Department of Water Resources (Dkt. 11999) (the "Memo"). The Memo detailed the court's decision to grant DWR's Motion for Order Determining that the Castle Rock Agreement with PG&E Cannot be Assumed and Claim No. 78104 be Paid ("DWR Motion") (Dkt. 11887) and subsequently set a further briefing schedule for DWR and Debtors. SVP and NCPA were both served notice of the DWR Motion when it was first filed on February 1, 2022. The Intervention Motion makes clear that SVP and NCPA conferred with Debtors and deliberately chose not to respond to the substance of the DWR Motion in the belief that Debtors would prevail in their Motion of the Reorganized Debtors for Entry of an Order Modifying Plan Injunction and Compelling Arbitration of Claim of California Department of Water Resources (Dkt. 11896), which the court denied.

In the related dispute between Debtors and DWR, DWR acknowledged the existence of an agreement among it, SVP and NCPA (but not Debtors) known as the Layoff Agreement.

Responding to the Reorganized Debtors' Motion for Order

Modifying Plan Injunction and Compelling Arbitration (Dkt. 11896), DWR stated:

Whether DWR effectively terminated its interest in the Castle Rock Agreement is a separate issue that can be determined without reference to the Layoff Agreement. To the extent that NCPA and SVP believe they may have any cognizable action against DWR, they should pursue it in state court rather than attempt to manipulate the bankruptcy court proceeding to seek relief through the Executory Contract and Cure Dispute and claims allowance process. (Dkt. 11942 at 14).

In determining whether a motion to intervene is timely, courts consider three factors: "(1) the stage of the proceedings; (2) whether the parties would be prejudiced; and (3) the reason for any delay in moving to intervene." Nw. Forest Res. Council v. Glickman, 82 F.3d 825, 836 (9th Cir. 1996), as amended on denial of reh'g (May 30, 1996). As noted above, SVP and NCPA chose not to participate in the DWR Motion for the past month and a half while DWR made clear its interest in determining the merits of the DWR Motion as to the Debtors from the beginning. They remained on the sideline, casting their fate with the Reorganized Debtors. To allow them to have a second chance now would not be fair to DWR.

The proceedings are nearly over, DWR would be prejudiced in having previously defaulted parties enter the fray, and the reason for the delay is entirely the choice of SVP and NCPA.

All three factors weigh in favor of denying the Intervention

Motion. Even without intervention, DWR, SVP, and NCPA will

still be able to determine remaining rights under the Layoff Agreement in another forum. Accordingly, the Intervention Motion is DENIED. **END OF ORDER**

-4-

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12054 Filed: 03/21/22 Entered: 03/22/22 11:01:51 Page 4

2556: 19-30088 Doc# 1223109-1Fileded505/05/22/22Enterteded505/05/22/211:01:51

570f0883

COURT SERVICE LIST

ECF Recipients

_ ,

-5-

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12054 Filed: 03/21/22 Entered: 03/22/22 11:01:51 Page 5

250 19-30088 Doc# 11233109-1Filede05/05/122/22Entertede05/05/122/212122/447.09Pagage0
580683

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Sacramento; my business address is 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500, Sacramento, California 95814. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the foregoing action.

On May 5, 2022, I served the within:

(1) NOTICE OF APPEAL AND STATEMENT OF ELECTION TO HAVE APPEAL HEARD BY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



(by mail) on all parties in said action by regular, first class United States mail, postage fully pre-paid, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in a designated area for outgoing mail, addressed as set forth below. At Boutin Jones Inc., mail placed in that designated area is given the correct amount of postage and is deposited that same day, in the ordinary course of business, in a United States mailbox in the City of Sacramento, California.

See attached list of parties served by first class mail.

1	Lisa S. Gast	Appellant
	DUNCAN, WEINBERG, GENZER &	
2	PEMBROKE, P.C.	
3	1667 K Street NW, Suite 700	
3	Washington, DC 20006	
4	JANE LUCKHARDT (SBN 141919)	Appellant
	General Counsel	
5	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER	
	AGENCY	
6	651 Commerce Drive	
7	Roseville, CA 95678-6411	
	ROBERT BONTA	Appellee
8	Attorney General of California	
	DANETTE VALDEZ, SBN 141780	
9	ANNADEL ALMENDRAS, SBN 192064	
10	Supervising Deputy Attorneys General	
	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	
11	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	A H
	PAUL J. PASCUZZI, SBN 148810	Appellee
12	NICHOLAS L. KOHLMEYER, SBN 299087	
13	FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD WILLOUGHBY PASCUZZI & RIOS LLP	
13	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250	
14	Sacramento, CA 95814	
1.5	Richard W. Slack (pro hac vice)	Other Interested Parties
15	Theodore E. Tsekerides (pro hac vice)	omer interested i drues
16	Jessica Liou (pro hac vice)	
	Matthew Goren (pro hac vice)	
17	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	
10	767 Fifth Avenue	
18	New York, NY 10153-0119	
19	Jane Kim	Other Interested Parties
	David A. Taylor	E.
20	Thomas B. Rupp	
21	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP	
21	650 California Street, Suite 1900	
22	San Francisco, CA 94108	
	PG&E Corporation	Debtor
23	77 Beale Street	
24	P.O. Box 770000	
24	San Francisco, CA 94177	
25	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Debtor
ļ	77 Beale Street	
26	P.O. Box 770000	
27	San Francisco, CA 94177 Peter J. Benvenutti	Attorna and for Delton
27	Peter J. Benvenutti Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP	Attorneys for Debtor
28	Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP 650 California St. 19th Fl.	
_	San Francisco, CA 94108	
	San Francisco, CA 94100	

Casse:1993800888 Dooc##1223.6911 Filibeld:05#052222 Hinterceld:05#0522221102424079 Fragge:2

1	Kevin Bostel	Attorneys for Debtor
2	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
2	767 Fifth Avenue	
3	New York, NY 10153	
3	Lee Brand	Attorneys for Debtor
4	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	
	Four Embarcadero Center, 22nd Fl.	
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-5998	
	Timothy G. Cameron	Attorneys for Debtor
6	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP	
7	Worldwide Plaza	
	825 8th Ave.	
8	New York, NY 10019	
Ĭ	Jared R. Friedmann	Attorneys for Debtor
9	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Audineys for Debior
	767 Fifth Ave.	
10		
,	New York, NY 10153 Andriana Georgallas	Attornays for Daktor
11	11	Attorneys for Debtor
12	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
12	767 Fifth Avenue	
13	New York, NY 10153	
10	Stuart J. Goldring	Attorneys for Debtor
14	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
	767 Fifth Ave.	
15	New York, NY 10153	
1.0	Matthew Goren	Attorneys for Debtor
16	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
17	767 Fifth Avenue	
1 /	New York, NY 10153	
18	David A. Herman	Attorneys for Debtor
	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP	
19	825 Eighth Avenue	
	New York, NY 10019	
20	Stephen Karotkin	Attorneys for Debtor
21	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
21	767 Fifth Avenue	
22	New York, NY 10153	
	Tobias S. Keller	Attorneys for Debtor
23	Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP	
	650 California St. #1900	
24	San Francisco, CA 94108	0
	Jane Kim	Attour and for Dahton
25	Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP	Attorneys for Debtor
26		
26	650 California St, Suite 1900	
27	San Francisco, CA 94108	Au C D L
٠,	Katherine Kohn	Attorneys for Debtor
28	Groom Law Group, Chartered	
	1701 Pennsylvania Ave, NW #1200	
	Washington, DC 20006	
CGa	se:1 .1993610188 8 Dibo o##1 .1223.69 31 Fili be ld:0 58052122 2	Hinterectd: 0 5%05222 211024244079 Fizage3

Casse:1993800888 DDoo##1223.6911 Filibed:05#052222 Einterceld:05#05222211024244079 Filibed:05#052222

İ		
1	Kevin Kramer	Attorneys for Debtor
	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
2	767 Fifth Avenue	
,	New York, NY 10153	
3	David Levine	Attorneys for Debtor
4	Groom Law Group, Chartered	Thorneys jor Debior
7	1701 Pennsylvania Ave, NW #1200	
5	Washington, DC 20006	
	Dara Levinson Silveira	August Car D. Lu
6		Attorneys for Debtor
	Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP	
7	650 California St. #1900	
	San Francisco, CA 94108	
8	Jessica Liou	Attorneys for Debtor
	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
9	767 Fifth Avenue	
10	New York, NY 10153	
10	Omid H. Nasab	Attorneys for Debtor
11	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP	
	825 Eighth Avenue	
12	New York, NY 10019	
	John Nolan	Attorneys for Debtor
13	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue	
	New York, NY 10153	
14	Kevin J. Orsini	Attour our for Debtor
1.5	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP	Attorneys for Debtor
15	825 Eighth Avenue	
16	New York, NY 10019	
	Thomas B. Rupp	Attorneys for Debtor
17	Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP	
	650 California Street, Suite 1900	
18	San Francisco, CA 94108	
	Bradley R. Schneider	Attorneys for Debtor
19	Munger Tolles and Olson LLP	
20	350 S Grand Ave., 50th Fl.	
20	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Ray C. Schrock	Attorna and Fou Dolds
21	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Attorneys for Debtor
41	767 Fifth Avenue	
22	New York, NY 10153	
	Richard W. Slack	Attorneys for Debtor
23	Weil Gotshal and Manges, LLP	
-	767 Fifth Ave.	
24	New York, NY 10153-0119	
	Theodore Tsekerides	Attorneys for Debtor
25	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
	767 Fifth Avenue	
26	New York, NY 10153	
27	Paul H. Zumbro Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP	Attorneys for Debtor
41	85 Eighth Avenue	
28	New York, NY 10019	
20	1.00	

İ		
1	Office of the United States Trustee for Region	U.S. Trustee
2	17	
	Attn: James L. Snyder, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.	
3	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 5th Floor,	
4	Suite #05-0153	
	San Francisco, CA 94102	
5	Annadel A. Almendras	Attorneys for California Department of Water
6	California Attorney General's Office	Resources
	455 Golden Gate Ave., #11000	
7	San Francisco, CA 94102 Xavier Becerra	Attour our for California Domantus out of Water
8	Office of the Attorney General	Attorneys for California Department of Water Resources
Ŭ	1515 Clay St., 20th Fl.	Resources
9	P.O. Box 70550	
10	Oakland, CA 94612-0550	
10	Steven H. Felderstein	Attorneys for California Department of Water
11	Felderstein Fitzgerald Willoughby et al	Resources
12	500 Capitol Mall #2250	
12	Sacramento, CA 95814 Paul J. Pascuzzi	Attourness for California Donarturout of Water
13	Faul J. Fascuzzi Felderstein Fitzgerald et al LLP	Attorneys for California Department of Water Resources
14	500 Capitol Mall #2250	Resources
14	Sacramento, CA 95814	
15	James Potter	Attorneys for California Department of Water
1.6	Office of the Attorney General	Resources
16	1515 Clay St., 20th Fl.	
17	P.O. Box 70550	
1.0	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Danette E. Valdez	Attorneys for California Department of Water
18	Office of the Attorney General	Resources
19	455 Golden Gate Ave. #11000	Accounted
• •	San Francisco, CA 94102-7005	
20	Rob Bonta	Attorneys for California Department of Water
21	Attorney General of California	Resources
	Margarita Padilla Supervising Deputy Attorney	
22	General	
23	Annadel A. Almendras Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	
24	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
25		<u> </u>
2.5	///	
26		

Casse: 1993800888 | Dooc##1233.6911 | Filibeld: 05#052222 | Effinberoeld: 05#05222211024244079 | Fragge 5 630 fo 1:83

27 ///

28 ///

1 TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by 2 the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) 5/5/2022, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following 3 persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below: 4 5 Elliot Adler eadler@theadlerfirm.com, bzummer@theadlerfirm.com alagenbroad@jonesday.com, saltamirano@jonesday.com 6 Aaron L. Agenbroad Gabrielle L. Albert galbert@kbkllp.com 7 annadel.almendras@doj.ca.gov Annadel A. Almendras Destiny N. Almogue Destiny.Almogue@skadden.com, 8 wendy.lamanna@skadden.com Monique D. Almy malmy@crowell.com 9 Anne Andrews aa@andrewsthornton.com, aandrews@andrewsthornton.com **Philip Anker** philip.anker@wilmerhale.com, whdocketing@wilmerhale.com 10 Richard L. Antognini rlalawyer@yahoo.com, hallonaegis@gmail.com 11 **Tyson Arbuthnot** tarbuthnot@rjo.com, jyeung@rjo.com lattard@bakerlaw.com, agrosso@bakerlaw.com Lauren T. Attard 12 hbaer@primeclerk.com, ecf@primeclerk.com Herb Baer Kathryn E. Barrett keb@svlg.com, amt@svlg.com 13 cbator@bakerlaw.com, jmcguigan@bakerlaw.com **Chris Bator** rbeacher@pryorcashman.com 14 Ronald S. Beacher Hagop T. Bedoyan hbedoyan@kleinlaw.com, ecf@kleinlaw.com 15 Andrew David Behlmann abehlmann@lowenstein.com, elawler@lowenstein.com 16 Tanya Behnam tbehnam@polsinelli.com, ladocketing@polsinelli.com ibehrens@milbank.com, mkoch@milbank.com James C. Behrens 17 jbeiswenger@omm.com, llattin@omm.com Jacob Taylor Beiswenger **Peter J. Benvenutti** pbenvenutti@kbkllp.com 18 Robert Berens rberens@smtdlaw.com, sr@smtdlaw.com 19 rberestka@stonelawoffice.com, Ronald F. Berestka csepulveda@stonelawoffice.com 20 **Heinz Binder** heinz@bindermalter.com Jared D. Bissell jared.bissell@troutman.com 21 njbloomfield@njblaw.com, gklump@njblaw.com **Neil Jon Bloomfield** 22 Jason Blumberg jason.blumberg@usdoj.gov, ustpregion17.sf.ecf@usdoj.gov Richard Bodnar rbodnar@rksllp.com 23 melissa.boey@morganlewis.com Melissa Boey pboldt@wattsguerra.com, cwilson@wattsguerra.com Paige Boldt 24 iborg@jasonborglaw.com Jason Borg eborges@ggtriallaw.com, cwinsten@ggtriallaw.com Evan C. Borges 25 Mark Bostick mbostick@wendel.com, bankruptcy@wendel.com 26 James L. Bothwell jbothwell@hugueninkahn.com, jguzman@hugueninkahn.com peter.boutin@kyl.com, lara.joel@kyl.com Peter R. Boutin 27 erin.brady@hoganlovells.com Erin N. Brady

Lee Brand lee.brand@pillsburylaw.com, docket@pillsburylaw.com

gbray@milbank.com

28

Gregory A. Bray

1	Michael D. Breslauer mbreslauer@ecf.courtdrive.com, wyones@swsslaw.com
2	W. Steven Bryant molly.batiste-debose@lockelord.com
_	Chane Buck cbuck@jonesday.com
3	• Kathlene Burke kathlene.burke@skadden.com, burke.kathlene@gmail.com
	Frank Busch busch@wvbrlaw.com, pallister@wvbrlaw.com
4	• Elizabeth J. Cabraser ecabraser@lchb.com, awolf@lchb.com
5	• Anthony P. Cali anthony.cali@stinson.com, lindsay.petrowski@stinson.com
7	• Peter C. Califano pcalifano@cwclaw.com
6	• Steven M. Campora scampora@dbbwc.com, nlechuga@dbbwc.com
	• Leah E. Capritta Leah.Capritta@hklaw.com, reena.kaur@hklaw.com
7	 Nicholas A. Carlin nac@phillaw.com, rac@phillaw.com Katherine Rose Catanese kcatanese@foley.com
8	N. C. 1. C. 1.
0	
9	 Barry A. Chatz barry.chatz@saul.com, barry.chatz@gmail.com Karen J. Chedister kchedister@h-jlaw.com
	• Christina Lin Chen christina.chen@morganlewis.com,
10	christina.lin.chen@gmail.com
	Richard A. Chesley richard.chesley@dlapiper.com,
11	bill.countryman@dlapiper.com
12	Kevin Chiu kevin.chiu@bakerbotts.com, rory.fontenla@bakerbotts.com
_	Jacquelyn H. Choi jacquelyn.choi@rimonlaw.com, docketing@rimonlaw.com
13	Shawn M. Christianson schristianson@buchalter.com
	Robert N.H. Christmas rchristmas@nixonpeabody.com,
14	nyc.managing.clerk@nixonpeabody.com
15	Jae Angela Chun ajc@chun.law, teresa@tosdallaw.com
	Gerard T. Cicero GCicero@brownrudnick.com,
16	NKhalatova@brownrudnick.com
	Louis J. Cisz lcisz@nixonpeabody.com
17	Valerie E. Clemen mcarter@coombslaw.com
18	Alicia Clough aclough@loeb.com
	Tiffany Strelow Cobb tscobb@vorys.com
19	• John B. Coffman john@johncoffman.net
.	• Kevin G. Collins kevin.collins@btlaw.com
20	Brian S. Conlon bsc@phillaw.com, rac@phillaw.com
21	• Charles Cording ccording@willkie.com, mao@willkie.com
-	Manuel Corrales mannycorrales@yahoo.com, hcskanchy@hotmail.com
22	Anne Costin anne@costinlawfirm.com Chairtenhan I. Cost. alaria acquella com
,	 Christopher J. Cox chris.cox@hoganlovells.com Donald H. Cram dhc@severson.com
23	 Donald H. Cram dhc@severson.com Ashley Vinson Crawford avcrawford@akingump.com, dkrasa-
24	berstell@akingump.com
້	D
25	 Douglas S. Crosno douglas.crosno@noganiovells.com Andrea Crowl acrowl@dbbwc.com
ای	J. Russell Cunningham rcunningham@dnlc.net, emehr@dnlc.net
26	• Keith J. Cunningham rkelley@pierceatwood.com
27	James D. Curran jcurran@wolkincurran.com, dstorms@wolkincurran.com
~	Tambra Curtis tambra.curtis@sonoma-county.org, Megan.Sweeley@sonoma-
28	county.org
	Stacy A. Dasaro sdasaro@goodwinlaw.com
- 1	I ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

1	James M. Davis jdavis@cglaw.com
	Nicolas De Lancie
2	• Judith A. Descalso jad_9193@ecf.courtdrive.com
3	Andrew G. Devore andrew.devore@ropesgray.com,
	nova.alindogan@ropesgray.com
4	Erin Elizabeth Dexter edexter@milbank.com
_	• Shounak S. Dharap ssd@arnslaw.com, mec@arnslaw.com
5	• Kathryn S. Diemer kdiemer@diemerwei.com
6	• Kathryn S. Diemer kdiemer@diemerwhitman.com
0	• John P. Dillman Houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com
7	• Caroline R. Djang caroline.djang@bbklaw.com, Laurie.Verstegen@bbklaw.com
	Krystal Dong ykdong@gmail.com
8	• Jonathan R. Doolittle jonathan.doolittle@pillsburylaw.com
9	• Jonathan R. Doolittle jdoolittle@reedsmith.com
1	Jennifer V. Doran jdoran@hinckleyallen.com Profile M. Doran jdoran@halandara.com Profile M. Doran jdoran.com Profile M. Doran jdoran
10	Dustin M. Dow ddow@bakerlaw.com, jmcguigan@bakerlaw.com Lowin B. Dush or ddown or down or brand or mental and the second or mental and t
	 Jamie P. Dreher jdreher@downeybrand.com Todd Dressel tdressel@mcguirewoods.com, jtabisaura@mcguirewoods.com
11	• Geoffrey B. Dryvynsyde gbd@cpuc.ca.gov, geoffrey.dryvynsyde@cpuc.ca.gov
12	• Jeffrey Aaron Dubbin jdubbin@labaton.com, echan-lee@labaton.com
12	Matthew Ducharme matthew.ducharme@hoganlovells.com,
13	tracy.southwell@hoganlovells.com
	Cecily Ann Dumas cdumas@bakerlaw.com, hhammonturano@bakerlaw.com
14	Dennis F. Dunne cprice@milbank.com, jbrewster@milbank.com
15	Dennis F. Dunne ddunne@milbank.com, jbrewster@milbank.com
	Huonganh Annie Duong annie.duong@mccormickbarstow.com,
16	dawn.houston@mccormickbarstow.com
	• Luke N. Eaton eatonl@pepperlaw.com, monugiac@pepperlaw.com
17	• Daniel G. Egan daniel.egan@ropesgray.com, nova.alindogan@ropesgray.com
18	• Joseph A. Eisenberg JAE1900@yahoo.com
	Michele Ellison mellison@gibbsgiden.com, lrochelle@gibbsgiden.com
19	David Emerzian Melany.Hertel@mccormickbarstow.com
20	 G. Larry Engel larry@engeladvice.com Krista M. Enns kenns@beneschlaw.com
20	 Krista M. Enns kenns@beneschlaw.com Scott Esbin sesbin@esbinalter.com
21	Joseph M. Esmont jesmont@bakerlaw.com
.	Michael P. Esser michael.esser@kirkland.com, michael-esser-
22	3293@ecf.pacerpro.com
23	• Richard W. Esterkin richard.esterkin@morganlewis.com,
-	melissa.boey@morganlewis.com
24	Michael S. Etkin metkin@lowenstein.com
ا ء	Jacob M. Faircloth jacob@bfolegal.com
25	• Brett D. Fallon bfallon@morrisjames.com, wweller@morrisjames.com
26	Michael C. Fallon manders@fallonlaw.net
	• Joana Fang jf@kbklawyers.com, icd@kbklawyers.com
27	Joseph Kyle Feist jfeistesq@gmail.com, info@norcallawgroup.net
,	David M. Feldman DFeldman@gibsondunn.com
28	Matthew A. Feldman mfeldman@willkie.com
	Jennifer Feldsher jennifer.feldsher@morganlewis.com

	l 1
1	Mark E. Felger mfelger@cozen.com
	• James J. Ficenec James. Ficenec@ndlf.com
2	• John D. Fiero jfiero@pszjlaw.com, ocarpio@pszjlaw.com
3	Kimberly S. Fineman kfineman@fhlawllp.com
٦	• Stephen D. Finestone sfinestone@fhlawllp.com
4	• Timothy M. Flaherty tflaherty@mpplaw.com
	Daniel I. Forman dforman@willkie.com
5	• Matthew Hampton Foushee hampton.foushee@hoganlovells.com,
_	hfoushee@gmail.com
6	Carolyn Frederick cfrederick@prklaw.com
7	Peter Friedman pfriedman@omm.com
	• Roger F. Friedman rfriedman@rutan.com, csolorzano@rutan.com
8	Xiyi Fu jackie.fu@lockelord.com, taylor.warren@lockelord.com
	• Lars H. Fuller lfuller@bakerlaw.com
9	Thomas M. Gaa tgaa@bbslaw.com
10	Larry W. Gabriel nfields@bg.law
`	• Gregg M. Galardi gregg.galardi@ropesgray.com,
1	nova.alindogan@ropesgray.com
	Craig Solomon Ganz ganzc@ballardspahr.com, hartt@ballardspahr.com
12	Jeffrey K. Garfinkle jgarfinkle@buchalter.com
13	Oscar Garza ogarza@thegarzafirm.com
13	• Lisa S. Gast lsg@dwgp.com, lmk@dwgp.com
4	Paul R. Gaus pgaus@downeybrand.com
	• Duane M. Geck dmg@severson.com
15	• Evelina Gentry evelina.gentry@akerman.com
	• Janet D. Gertz jgertz@btlaw.com
16	Christopher Gessner cgessner@akingump.com, NYMCO@akingump.com
7	• R. Dale Ginter dginter@downeybrand.com
	• Jon T. Givens givensjt@gmail.com, cwilson@wattsguerra.com
18	 Barry S. Glaser bglaser@salvatoboufadel.com Paul R. Glassman pglassman@sycr.com
	• Gabriel I. Glazer gglazer@pszjlaw.com
19	• Gabrielle Glemann gabrielle.glemann@stoel.com, rene.alvin@stoel.com
20	Jaime Godin Jtouchstone@fddcm.com
_	Matthew A. Gold courts@argopartners.net
21	Eric D. Goldberg eric.goldberg@dlapiper.com, eric-goldberg-
.	1103@ecf.pacerpro.com
22	Craig Goldblatt Craig.Goldblatt@wilmerhale.com,
23	whdocketing@wilmerhale.com
-5	Amy L. Goldman goldman@lbbslaw.com
24	Eric S. Goldstein egoldstein@goodwin.com
	Rhonda Stewart Goldstein Rhonda.Goldstein@ucop.edu, Lissa.Ly@ucop.edu
25	Richard H. Golubow rgolubow@wcghlaw.com, jmartinez@WCGHLaw.com
26	Michael J. Gomez mgomez@frandzel.com, dmoore@frandzel.com
20	Michael W. Goodin mgoodin@clausen.com, mgenova@clausen.com
27	Eric R. Goodman egoodman@bakerlaw.com
	Michael R. Goodstein mgoodstein@baileycav.com
28	Michael I. Gottfried mgottfried@elkinskalt.com, AAburto@elkinskalt.com
	• Louis Gottlieb Lgottlieb@labaton.com, mpenrhyn@labaton.com

1	Elizabeth Graham egraham@gelaw.com
	Eric A. Grasberger eric.grasberger@stoel.com, docketclerk@stoel.com
2	Debra I. Grassgreen hphan@pszjlaw.com
2	Debra I. Grassgreen dgrassgreen@pszjlaw.com, hphan@pszjlaw.com
3	• Eric A. Gravink eric@rhrc.net
4	• Elizabeth A. Green egreen@bakerlaw.com, orlbankruptcy@bakerlaw.com
.	Tracy Green tgreen@wendel.com, bankruptcy@wendel.com
5	Mitchell B. Greenberg mgreenberg@abbeylaw.com, mmeroney@abbeylaw.com
	Brian Gregory b.gregory@veenfirm.com, EL.Team@Veenfirm.com
6	• Susan Sieger Grimm SSieger-Grimm@brownrudnick.com,
7	NKhalatova@brownrudnick.com
	Matthew W. Grimshaw matt@grimshawlawgroup.com,
8	ecfmarshackhays@gmail.com
	• Stuart G. Gross sgross@grosskleinlaw.com, iatkinsonyoung@grosskleinlaw.com
9	• Carl L. Grumer cgrumer@manatt.com, mchung@manatt.com
10	• Elizabeth M. Guffy eguffy@lockelord.com, autodocket@lockelord.com
10	• Lloyd C. Guintivano anitag@co.lake.ca.us, lloydg@co.lake.ca.us
11	• Cameron M. Gulden cameron.m.gulden@usdoj.gov
	Mirco J. Haag mhaag@buchalter.com, dcyrankowski@buchalter.com
12	• Laurie Hager lhager@sussmanshank.com
13	• J. Noah Hagey hagey@braunhagey.com, tong@braunhagey.com
13	Oren Buchanan Haker oren.haker@stoel.com, rene.alvin@stoel.com
14	Michael Hampson mhampson@rksllp.com
	Kristopher M. Hansen dmohamed@stroock.com, mmagzamen@stroock.com
15	Joseph George Harraka jgharraka@becker.legal
16	 Adam C. Harris adam.harris@srz.com Robert G. Harris rob@bindermalter.com
10	
17	 Christopher H. Hart chart@nutihart.com, nwhite@nutihart.com Bryan L. Hawkins bryan.hawkins@stoel.com, Sharon.witkin@stoel.com
	• Jennifer C. Hayes jhayes@fhlawllp.com
18	• Geoffrey A. Heaton gheaton@duanemorris.com, dmicros@duanemorris.com
19	Michael C. Hefter michael.hefter@hoganlovells.com,
19	tracy.southwell@hoganlovells.com
20	• Alaina R. Heine alaina.heine@dechert.com, brett.stone@dechert.com
	• Matthew Henderson matthew.henderson@msrlegal.com,
21	karen.wigylus@msrlegal.com
22	• Stephen E. Hessler, P.C. jozette.chong@kirkland.com
22	• Matthew Heyn matthew.heyn@doj.ca.gov
23	• Sean T. Higgins aandrews@andrewsthornton.com,
_	shiggins@andrewsthornton.com
24	• James P. Hill hill@sullivanhill.com, bkstaff@sullivanhill.com
25	• Morgan R. Hirst mhirst@jonesday.com, mmelvin@jonesday.com
23	Michael R. Hogue hoguem@gtlaw.com, navarrom@gtlaw.com
26	David Holtzman david.holtzman@hklaw.com
	Alexandra S. Horwitz allie.horwitz@dinsmore.com
27	Marsha Houston mhouston@reedsmith.com, hvalencia@reedsmith.com Linda Wordshi Hara allega descriptions are a provide grade and a second and a second are a second and a s
28	• Linda Wendell Hsu lhsu@selmanlaw.com, psmith@selmanlaw.com
20	 Shane Huang shane.huang@usdoj.gov Brian D. Huben hubenb@ballardspahr.com, carolod@ballardspahr.com
	Brian D. Huben hubenb@ballardspahr.com, carolod@ballardspahr.com

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page 69 of 88

1	Kelly L. Huey khuey@burkeandkesslerlaw.com
	Christopher Hughes chughes@nossaman.com
2	• Jonathan Hughes jane.rustice@aporter.com
3	• Edward R. Huguenin ehuguenin@hugueninkahn.com,
5	jguzman@hugueninkahn.com
4	Michael A. Isaacs misaacs@rinconlawllp.com, aworthing@rinconlawllp.com
	Mark V. Isola misola@brotherssmithlaw.com
5	• J. Eric Ivester Eric.Ivester@skadden.com, Andrea.Bates@skadden.com
	J. Eric Ivester Andrea.Bates@skadden.com
6	• Lawrence A. Jacobson laj@cohenandjacobson.com, mcycle48@gmail.com
7	Kizzy L. Jarashow KJarashow@goodwinlaw.com,
´	AFraticelliLouallen@goodwinlaw.com
8	• Ivan C. Jen ivan@icjenlaw.com
	Amanda Jereige
9	5954@ecf.pacerpro.com
10	 Monique Jewett-Brewster mjb@hopkinscarley.com,
10	eamaro@hopkinscarley.com
11	• James O. Johnston jjohnston@jonesday.com
	• Chris Johnstone chris.johnstone@wilmerhale.com,
12	whdocketing@wilmerhale.com
12	Andrew Jones andrew@ajoneslaw.com
13	• Gregory K. Jones GJones@dykema.com, cacossano@dykema.com
14	• John L. Jones JJones@chwlaw.us, JLJones2@outlook.com
-	Robert A. Julian rjulian@bakerlaw.com, hhammonturano@bakerlaw.com
15	George H. Kalikman sdavenport@schnader.com
1.0	• Roberto J. Kampfner rkampfner@whitecase.com, mco@whitecase.com
16	Bonnie E. Kane bonnie@thekanelawfirm.com, skane@thekanelawfirm.com
17	• Eve H. Karasik ehk@lnbyb.com Mishael G. Karalas at mates@lsasalas net asf slowt Vasalas@titlevi.com
1	Michael G. Kasolas trustee@kasolas.net, ecf.alert+Kasolas@titlexi.com Flynne S. Katan alvetae@helverlayy.com
18	• Elyssa S. Kates ekates@bakerlaw.com
10	 Ori Katz okatz@sheppardmullin.com, LSegura@sheppardmullin.com William M. Kaufman wkaufman@smwb.com, eschneider@smwb.com
19	Jane G. Kearl jkearl@watttieder.com, jbenton@watttieder.com
20	Tobias S. Keller tkeller@kbkllp.com
20	Tobias S. Keller tkeller@kellerbenvenutti.com
21	• Lynette C. Kelly ustpregion 17.0a.ecf@usdoj.gov
.	Sarah Elisabeth Kelly-Kilgore skellykilgore@ggtriallaw.com,
22	dvultaggio@ggtriallaw.com
23	Matthew K. Kelsey mkelsey@gibsondunn.com
23	Gerald P. Kennedy gerald.kennedy@procopio.com,
24	kristina.terlaga@procopio.com
	Erica L. Kerman ekerman@willkie.com
25	Samuel A. Khalil skhalil@milbank.com, jbrewster@milbank.com
26	Samuel M. Kidder skidder@ktbslaw.com
20	Marc Kieselstein carrie.oppenheim@kirkland.com
27	Jane Kim jkim@kbkllp.com
	Mary H. Kim Mary.Kim@dechert.com, brett.stone@dechert.com
28	• Susan E. Kirkgaard carlyn.jorgensen@bullivant.com
	Kody D. L. Kleber kkleber@bakerlaw.com, dmartinez@bakerlaw.com

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page 69 of 88

1	Matthew Ryan Klinger mklinger@sheppardmullin.com,
2	DGatmen@sheppardmullin.com
_	Bradley C. Knapp bknapp@lockelord.com, Yamille.Harrison@lockelord.com
3	Kelly V. Knight kelly.knight@srz.com
	Lydia Vanessa Ko Lyko@stonelawoffice.com
4	• Thomas F. Koegel tkoegel@crowell.com
5	• Katherine Kohn kkohn@groom.com, ashahinllari@groom.com
,	• Andy S. Kong kong.andy@arentfox.com, Yvonne.Li@arentfox.com
6	• Anna Kordas akordas@jonesday.com, mmelvin@jonesday.com
	Alan W. Kornberg akornberg@paulweiss.com Paymand Varmbang harmand learnbang@payactus acm
7	 Bernard Kornberg bernard.kornberg@practus.com David I. Kornbluh dkombluh@venturahersey.com,
	• David I. Kornbluh dkombluh@venturahersey.com, jpatterson@venturahersey.com
8	I IZ 11
9	• Lauren Kramer ikramer@rjo.com • Marc Kramer mkramer@rksllp.com
	• Jeffrey C. Krause jkrause@gibsondunn.com
10	• Thomas R. Kreller tkreller@milbank.com
,	Lindsey E. Kress lkress@lockelord.com, autodocket@lockelord.com
11	Hannah C. Kreuser hkreuser@porterlaw.com, ooberg@porterlaw.com
12	Kevin Kroll kkroll@kfc.law
_	Michael Thomas Krueger michael.krueger@ndlf.com, Havilyn.lee@ndlf.com
13	Marek P. Krzyzowski MKrzyzowski@brownrudnick.com,
	SCalderon@brownrudnick.com
14	Robert T. Kugler robert.kugler@stinson.com
15	Duane Kumagai dkumagai@maynardcooper.com,
	Mshabpareh@maynardcooper.com
16	Brendan M. Kunkle bkunkle@abbeylaw.com, lmeyer@abbeylaw.com
	Alisa C. Lacey alisa.lacey@stinson.com, karen.graves@stinson.com
17	• Timothy S. Laffredi timothy.s.laffredi@usdoj.gov
18	• Timothy S. Laffredi timothy.s.laffredi@usdoj.gov
	Richard A. Lapping rich@trodellalapping.com
19	Omeed Latifi olatifi@theadlerfirm.com, kdeubler@theadlerfirm.com
<u>,</u>	John E. Lattin jlattin@ostergar.com, cslovenec@ostergar.com
20	• Paul J. Laurin plaurin@btlaw.com, slmoore@btlaw.com
21	 Michael Lauter mlauter@sheppardmullin.com Kenneth T. Law klaw@bbslaw.com
22	 Francis J. Lawaii francis.lawaii@troutman.com, susan.nenry@troutman.com Andrew Michael Leblanc ALeblanc@milbank.com
22	Erica Lee Erica.Lee@doj.ca.gov
23	• Scott Lee scott.lee@lewisbrisbois.com, monique.talamante@lewisbrisbois.com
24	• Paul J. Leeds leedsp@higgslaw.com
	• Edward J. Leen eleen@mkbllp.com
25	Lisa Lenherr llenherr@wendel.com, bankruptcy@wendel.com
26	Matthew A. Lesnick matt@lesnickprince.com, jmack@lesnickprince.com
26	Bryn G. Letsch bletsch@braytonlaw.com
27	David B. Levant david.levant@stoel.com, rene.alvin@stoel.com
	Andrew H. Levin alevin@wcghlaw.com
28	David Levine dnl@groom.com
	Marc A. Levinson Malevinson@orrick.com, casestream@ecf.courtdrive.com

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page 70 of 88

1	• Dara Levinson Silveira dsilveira@kbkllp.com, hrobertsdonnelly@kbkllp.com
	Alexander James Demitro Lewicki kdiemer@diemerwei.com
2	Alexander James Demitro Lewicki alewicki@diemerwei.com
3	• Lauren Lifland lauren.lifland@wilmerhale.com, whdocketing@wilmerhale.com
5	William S. Lisa jcaruso@nixonpeabody.com
4	William S. Lisa wlisa@nixonpeabody.com, jcaruso@nixonpeabody.com
	• Jonathan A. Loeb jon.loeb@bingham.com
5	Michael B. Lubic michael.lubic@klgates.com
	John William Lucas ocarpio@pszjlaw.com
6	Joseph R. Lucia PersonallnjuryGroup@RLSlawyers.com
7	Jane Luciano jane-luciano@comcast.net
	Kerri Lyman klyman@irell.com, #-FirmPSDocketing@Steptoe.com
8	• John H. MacConaghy macclaw@macbarlaw.com,
	smansour@macbarlaw.com;kmuller@macbarlaw.com
9	• Iain A. Macdonald imac@macfern.com, 6824376420@filings.docketbird.com
10	Malcolm A. Mackenzie mmackenzie@coombslaw.com,
10	vclemen@coombslaw.com
11	• Tracy L. Mainguy tmainguy@unioncounsel.net,
	bankruptcycourtnotices@unioncounsel.net
12	• Samuel R. Maizel samuel.maizel@dentons.com, alicia.aguilar@dentons.com
10	Adam Malatesta
13	8393@ecf.pacerpro.com
14	Katharine Malone malonek@gtlaw.com
1 1	• Liam K. Malone malone@oles.com, shahin@oles.com
15	Michael W. Malter michael@bindermalter.com
	Ankur Mandhania amandhania@mayerbrown.com
16	• Craig Margulies cmargulies@margulies-law.com, lsalazar@margulies-law.com
17	Geoffrey E. Marr gemarr59@hotmail.com
1 /	Richard A. Marshack rmarshack@marshackhays.com,
18	rmarshack@ecf.courtdrive.com
	• Catherine Martin cmartin@simon.com,
19	rtucker@simon.com;bankruptcy@simon.com
20	• Laila Masud lmasud@marshackhays.com, lmasud@ecf.courtdrive.com
20	• David P. Matthews jrhoades@thematthewslawfirm.com,
21	aharrison@thematthewslawfirm.com
	• Patrick C. Maxcy patrick.maxcy@snrdenton.com
22	 Simon Richard Mayer simon.mayer@lockelord.com, Rellis@lockelord.com James J. Mazza james.mazza@skadden.com, wendy.lamanna@skadden.com
	D 1 D N/C H 1 11 C 1111 1
23	
24	C. Luckey McDowell Luckey.McDowell@Shearman.com Matthew D. McGill MMcGill@gibsondunn.com
-	Melissa C. McLaughlin mcmclaughlin@venable.com, ataylor@venable.com
25	• Edward Joseph McNeilly edward.mcneilly@hoganlovells.com,
	verbon.davenport@hoganlovells.com
26	Scott H. McNutt SMcNutt@ml-sf.com, csnell@ml-sf.com
27	• Thomas Melone Thomas.Melone@gmail.com, Thomas.Melone@AllcoUS.com
<i>-</i> /	• Peter Meringolo peter@pmrklaw.com
28	• Frank A. Merola lacalendar@stroock.com, mmagzamen@stroock.com
	Jennifer L. Mersing jennifer.mersing@stoel.com, lisa.petras@stoel.com
	Jennier III 1.1010 Jennier III General III III III III III III III III III I

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page 73 of 88

1	Joshua M. Mester jmester@jonesday.com					
2	• Matthew D. Metzger belvederelegalecf@gmail.com					
2	Merle C. Meyers mmeyers@mlg-pc.com					
3	• Randy Michelson randy.michelson@michelsonlawgroup.com					
	• Gerardo Mijares-Shafai Gerardo.Mijares-Shafai@arnoldporter.com,					
4	kenneth.anderson@arnoldporter.com					
_	• Joel S. Miliband jmiliband@brownrudnick.com					
5	Joseph G. Minias jminias@willkie.com					
6	M. David Minnick dminnick@pillsburylaw.com, docket@pillsburylaw.com					
١ '	• Benjamin Mintz benjamin.mintz@arnoldporter.com,					
7	valerie.foley@arnoldporter.com					
	Nancy Mitchell					
8	• Thomas C. Mitchell tcmitchell@orrick.com,					
	Dcmanagingattorneysoffice@ecf.courtdrive.com					
9	• John A. Moe john.moe@dentons.com, glenda.spratt@dentons.com					
10	• Aaron J. Mohamed ajm@brereton.law, aaronmohamedlaw@gmail.com					
	• Kevin Montee kmontee@monteeassociates.com					
11	• Christopher D. Moon chris@moonlawapc.com, kevin@moonlawapc.com					
	David W. Moon lacalendar@stroock.com, mmagzamen@stroock.com					
12	Diane Marger Moore dmargermoore@baumhedlundlaw.com					
13	• Erika L. Morabito emorabito@foley.com, hsiagiandraughn@foley.com					
13	Candace J. Morey cjm@cpuc.ca.gov					
14	Courtney L. Morgan morgan.courtney@pbgc.gov					
	• Richard Morin 6863427420@filings.docketbird.com					
15	• Kimberly S. Morris kmorris@bakerlaw.com, hhammonturano@bakerlaw.com					
1.0	Rodney Allen Morris Rodney.Morris2@usdoj.gov					
Joshua D. Morse Joshua.Morse@dlapiper.com, docket@pillsbury						
17	• Andrew H. Morton andrew.morton@stoel.com, lisa.petras@stoel.com					
•	pinunoz@iccasimui.com, gsandovai@iccasimui.com					
18	• John Leland Murphree LMurphree@maynardcooper.com, mshabpareh@maynardcooper.com					
10						
19	 Bennett J. Murphy bmurphy@bennettmurphylaw.com Julie M. Murphy jmmurphy@stradley.com 					
20	Michael S. Myers myersm@ballardspahr.com					
20	• David L. Neale dln@lnbyg.com					
21	David Neier dneier@winston.com					
	• Brittany J. Nelson bnelson@foley.com, hsiagiandraughn@foley.com					
22	Michael S. Neumeister MNeumeister@gibsondunn.com					
23	Howard S. Nevins hnevins@hsmlaw.com					
23	Samuel A. Newman sam.newman@sidley.com, laefilingnotice@sidley.com					
24	Melissa T. Ngo ngo.melissa@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov					
	Mario R. Nicholas mario.nicholas@stoel.com, ana.trask@stoel.com					
25	Sean Nolan snolan@akingump.com, NYMCO@akingump.com					
26	Gregory C. Nuti chart@nutihart.com, nwhite@nutihart.com					
26	Abigail O'Brient aobrient@mintz.com, docketing@mintz.com					
27	Alicia D. O'Neill aoneill@wattsguerra.com, cwilson@wattsguerra.com					
1	Julie E. Oelsner joelsner@weintraub.com, bjennings@weintraub.com					
28	Office of the U.S. Trustee / SF USTPRegion17.SF.ECF@usdoj.gov					
	Aron M. Oliner roliner@duanemorris.com, dmicros@duanemorris.com					

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page 12 of 88

1	Matthew Jon Olson matt@macfern.com, stell.laura@dorsey.com				
	Scott Olson scott.olson@bclplaw.com				
2	• Steven M. Olson steve@bfolegal.com				
3	Aram Ordubegian Ordubegian.Aram@ArentFox.com				
5	Jose Antonio Ortiz aortiz@jhwclaw.com				
4	Keith C. Owens kowens@foxrothschild.com, bclark@venable.com				
	Gabriel Ozel gabeozel@gmail.com				
5	Amy S. Park amy.park@skadden.com				
6	Marissa Parker mparker@stradley.com				
١	Donna Taylor Parkinson donna@parkinsonphinney.com				
7	• Peter S. Partee ppartee@huntonak.com, candonian@huntonak.com				
_	• Paul J. Pascuzzi ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com, docket@ffwplaw.com				
8	Kenneth Pasquale mlaskowski@stroock.com				
9	Dow Wakefield Patten dow@forthrightlaw.com				
,	• Larry Allan Peluso pelusolaw@gmail.com, firm@pelusolaw.net				
10	Valerie Bantner Peo vbantnerpeo@buchalter.com Vaccef Povetry alvin @parentalogy.com				
	Yosef Peretz skim@peretzlaw.com Christian A Porovda CPerovda@maymardaaanar.com				
11	Christian A. Pereyda CPereyda@maynardcooper.com, mshabpareh@maynardcooper.com				
12	• Thomas R. Phinney tom@parkinsonphinney.com				
12	R. Alexander Pilmer alexander.pilmer@kirkland.com,				
13	keith.catuara@kirkland.com				
	M. Ryan Pinkston rpinkston@seyfarth.com, jmcdermott@seyfarth.com				
14	• Estela O. Pino epino@epinolaw.com, rmahal@epinolaw.com				
15	Gregory Plaskett gregory.plaskett@gmail.com				
	Mark D. Plevin mplevin@crowell.com				
16	• Steven G. Polard spolard@eisnerlaw.com, calendar-lao@ropers.com				
1.7	Mark D. Poniatowski ponlaw@ponlaw.com				
17	Cara M. Porter cara.porter@doj.ca.gov, rachel.patu@doj.ca.gov				
18	Christopher E. Prince cprince@lesnickprince.com				
	Douglas B. Provencher dbp@provlaw.com Amy C. Quartarela amy quartarela@lyy.com				
19	Amy C. Quartarolo amy.quartarolo@lw.com				
20	• Lary Alan Rappaport lrappaport@proskauer.com, PHays@proskauer.com				
20	• Justin E. Rawlins justinrawlins@paulhastings.com				
21	 Hugh M. Ray hugh.ray@pillsburylaw.com, nancy.jones@pillsburylaw.com Paul F. Ready smeyer@farmerandready.com 				
	 Paul F. Ready smeyer@farmerandready.com Caroline A. Reckler caroline.reckler@lw.com 				
22	David M. Reeder david@reederlaw.com, secretary@reederlaw.com				
23	• Steven J. Reisman sreisman@katten.com, nyc.bknotices@kattenlaw.com				
23	• Jeffrey M. Reisner jreisner@irell.com, #-FirmPSDocketing@Steptoe.com				
24	• Jack A. Reitman srichmond@lgbfirm.com				
	• Emily P. Rich erich@unioncounsel.net,				
25	bankruptcycourtnotices@unioncounsel.net				
26	• David J. Richardson drichardson@bakerlaw.com, aagonzalez@bakerlaw.com				
ا ۵	Christopher O. Rivas crivas@reedsmith.com, chris-rivas-				
27	8658@ecf.pacerpro.com				
	David B. Rivkin drivkin@bakerlaw.com, jmeeks@bakerlaw.com				
28	• John R. Rizzardi kcoselman@cairncross.com, tnguyen@cairncross.com				
	Daniel Robertson robertson.daniel@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov				
- 1					

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page 75 of 88

1	Michael Rogers mrogers@lambertrogers.com, jan@lambertrogers.com				
	Lawrence M. Rolnick lrolnick@rksllp.com				
2	Jorian L. Rose jrose@bakerlaw.com				
3	Laurence M. Rosen Irosen@rosenlegal.com, zstanco@rosenlegal.com				
3	• Paul M. Rosenblatt prosenblatt@kilpatricktownsend.com,				
4	mwilliams@kilpatricktownsend.com				
	David A. Rosenzweig david.rosenzweig@nortonrosefulbright.com				
5	Jay M. Ross jross@hopkinscarley.com, eamaro@hopkinscarley.com				
	Gregory A. Rougeau grougeau@brlawsf.com				
6	Jason C. Rubinstein jrubinstein@fklaw.com, mclerk@fklaw.com				
7	Nathan Q. Rugg nathan.rugg@bfkn.com, jean.montgomery@bfkn.com				
′	Thomas B. Rupp trupp@kbkllp.com				
8	Steven B. Sacks ssacks@sackslawoffice.com				
_	Eric E. Sagerman esagerman@bakerlaw.com				
9	Robert Sahyan rsahyan@sheppardmullin.com, lsegura@sheppardmullin.com				
10	• Gregory M. Salvato gsalvato@salvatolawoffices.com,				
10	calendar@salvatolawoffices.com				
11	• Jonathan C. Sanders jsanders@stblaw.com				
	Nanette D. Sanders nanette@ringstadlaw.com, becky@ringstadlaw.com				
12	Natalie Kathleen Sanders				
12	Lovee Sarenas Lovee.sarenas@lewisbrisbois.com				
13	Brandy A. Sargent brandy.sargent@klgates.com, docketclerk@stoel.com				
14	Patricia Savage psavesq@gmail.com, jodi.savage@gmail.com				
- 1	Caroline A.H. Sayers caroline.sayers@lathropgpm.com,				
15	patricia.johnson@lathropgpm.com				
1.0	• Sblend A. Sblendorio sas@hogefenton.com				
16	• Francis O. Scarpulla fos@scarpullalaw.com, cpc@scarpullalaw.com				
• Daren M Schlecter daren@schlecterlaw.com, info@schlecterlaw.com					
1	bradiey K. Schneider bradiey.schneider@into.com				
18	 Harvey S. Schochet Harveyschochet@dwt.com Nathan A. Schultz nschultzesq@gmail.com, kjarashow@goodwinlaw.com 				
	 Nathan A. Schultz nschultzesq@gmail.com, kjarashow@goodwinlaw.com Lisa Schweitzer lschweitzer@cgsh.com 				
19					
20	Leonard M. Shulman lshulman@shbllp.com				
_	Andrew I. Silfen andrew.silfen@arentfox.com				
21	Wayne A. Silver w silver@sbcglobal.net, ws@waynesilverlaw.com				
22	Brandt Silver-Korn bsilverkorn@edelson.com, docket@edelson.com				
22	Craig S. Simon csimon@bergerkahn.com, aketcher@bergerkahn.com				
23	Gerald Singleton gerald@slffirm.com, BKECFCANB@SLFfirm.com				
	Steven J. Skikos sskikos@skikos.com, mmontoya@skikos.com				
24	Michael K. Slattery mslattery@lkfirm.com, rramirez@lkfirm.com				
	• Dania Slim dania.slim@pillsburylaw.com, melinda.hernandez@pillsburylaw.com	1			
25	Jennifer N. Slocum jennifer.slocum@stoel.com, docketclerk@stoel.com				
26	Aaron C. Smith asmith@lockelord.com, autodocket@lockelord.com				
20	Alan D. Smith adsmith@perkinscoie.com, al-smith-9439@ecf.pacerpro.com				
27	Jan D. Sokol jdsokol@lawssl.com				
2.	Daniel Solish cocolaw@stancounty.com, solishd@stancounty.com				
28	• Randye B. Soref rsoref@polsinelli.com, ccripe@polsinelli.com				
	Joseph Sorkin jsorkin@akingump.com, NYMCO@akingump.com				

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page 16 of 88

1	Michael St. James ecf@stjames-law.com					
2	• Diane C. Stanfield diane.stanfield@alston.com, nelly.villaneda@alston.com					
2	Howard J. Steinberg steinbergh@gtlaw.com, pearsallt@gtlaw.com					
3	Harriet A. Steiner harriet.steiner@bbklaw.com, claudia.peach@bbklaw.com					
_	• Lillian G. Stenfeldt lillian.stenfeldt@rimonlaw.com, docketing@rimonlaw.com					
4	Cheryl L. Stengel					
ا ہ	David M. Stern dstern@ktbslaw.com					
5	• Geoffrey S. Stewart gstewart@jonesday.com, mmelvin@jonesday.com					
6	Alan J. Stone AStone@milbank.com, DMcCracken@Milbank.com					
Ĭ	• Jason D. Strabo jstrabo@mwe.com					
7	Michael H. Strub					
	Rebecca Suarez rsuarez@crowell.com Brod T. Surrey and desleting advellar arroyall.com					
8	Brad T. Summers docketing-pdx@lanepowell.com Warin Swape docketing-pdx@lanepowell.com					
9	 Karin Swope kswope@cpmlegal.com Kristine Theodesia Takvoryan ktakvoryan@ckrlaw.com 					
	Kesha Tanabe kesha@tanabelaw.com					
10	Mary Ellmann Tang mtang@frenchlyontang.com, nfears@frenchlyontang.com					
11	• Dante Taylor dtaylor@lbbklaw.com					
11	Elizabeth Lee Thompson ethompson@stites.com, docketclerk@stites.com					
12	• John C. Thornton jct@andrewsthornton.com, aandrews@andrewsthornton.com					
	• Elisa Tolentino cao.main@sanjoseca.gov					
13	Meagan S. Tom meagan.tom@lockelord.com, autodocket@lockelord.com					
1.4	• Edward J. Tredinnick etredinnick@foxrothschild.com					
14	Matthew Jordan Troy matthew.troy@usdoj.gov					
• Rocky C. Tsai rocky.tsai@ropesgray.com, matthew.haut@ropesgray.com						
	Michael Tye Michael. Tye@usdoj.gov					
16						
17	Andrew Van Ornum avanornum@vlmglaw.com, hchea@vlmglaw.com					
1 /	• Shmuel Vasser shmuel.vasser@dechert.com, brett.stone@dechert.com					
18	Victor A. Vilaplana vavilaplana@foley.com, rhurst@foley.com Marta Villagarta warta villagarta@usdoi.gov					
	Marta Villacorta marta.villacorta@usdoj.gov					
19	• Carol C. Villegas cvillegas@labaton.com, NDonlon@labaton.com					
20	 John A. Vos InvalidEMailECFonly@gmail.com, PrivateECFNotice@gmail.com Bao M. Vu bao.vu@stoel.com, sharon.witkin@stoel.com 					
20	Nicholas Wagner kschemen@wagnerjones.com, bwagner@wagnerjones.com					
21	• Jonathan D. Waisnor jwaisnor@willkie.com, mao@willkie.com					
	Rachel M. Walsh rwalsh@goodwinlaw.com, tsutton@goodwinlaw.com					
22	• Riley C. Walter jalfaro@wjhattorneys.com					
23	Phillip K. Wang phillip.wang@rimonlaw.com					
23	Samuel M. Ward sward@barrack.com, cfessia@barrack.com					
24	Philip S. Warden philip.warden@pillsburylaw.com,					
2.	kathy.stout@pillsburylaw.com					
25	Gregory P. Waters gwaters@elllaw.com, gregorywatersesq@gmail.com					
26	Guy L. Watts gwatts@wattsguerra.com, cwilson@wattsguerra.com					
20	Mikal C. Watts mcwatts@wattsguerra.com, cwilson@wattsguerra.com					
27	• Lindsi M. Weber lweber@polsinelli.com, yderac@polsinelli.com					
20	• Joseph M. Welch jwelch@buchalter.com, dcyrankowski@buchalter.com					
28	Todd J. Wenzel todd@wenzellawoffices.com					
	Meredith Werner meredith.werner@clydeco.us					

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page

1	David Walter Wessel DWessel@efronlawfirm.com, hporter@chdlawyers.com
2	 Joseph West westjoseph@earthlink.net, josephw998@gmail.com Drew M. Widders dwidders@wilcoxenlaw.com, nina@wilcoxenlaw.com
3	Jason P. Williams maryanne@wplgattorneys.com
4	Eric R. Wilson kdwbankruptcydepartment@kelleydrye.com, ewilson@kelleydrye.com
	Kimberly S. Winick kwinick@clarktrev.com, knielsen@clarktrev.com
5	• Rebecca J. Winthrop rebecca.winthrop@nortonrosefulbright.com, diana.cardenas@nortonrosefulbright.com
6	David Wirt david.wirt@hklaw.com, denise.harmon@hklaw.com
7	• Ryan A. Witthans rwitthans@fhlawllp.com
8	Keith H. Wofford keith.wofford@ropesgray.com, nova.alindogan@ropesgray.com
	Risa Lynn Wolf-Smith rwolf@hollandhart.com,
9	lmlopezvelasquez@hollandhart.com • Douglas Wolfe asm@asmcapital.com
10	Andrea Wong wong.andrea@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov
11	Christopher Kwan Shek Wong christopher.wong@arentfox.com
12	 David A. Wood dwood@marshackhays.com, lbuchanan@marshackhays.com Kirsten A. Worley worleyk@higgslaw.com, admin@wlawcorp.com
13	Kinga Wright kinga.wright@lockelord.com, autodocket@lockelord.com
	 Antonio Yanez ayanez@willkie.com Cathy Yanni cathy@cathyyanni.com, pstrunk@browngreer.com
14	Andrew Yaphe andrew.yaphe@davispolk.com, pge.dpw.routing@davispolk.com
15	 Stephanie Yee syee@janglit.com, klockwood@janglit.com Tacie H. Yoon tyoon@crowell.com
16	Bennett G. Young byoung@jmbm.com, jb8@jmbm.com
17	 Eric G. Young eyoung@dcalaw.com, Jackie@dcalaw.com Nicole M. Zeiss nzeiss@labaton.com
	 Nicole M. Zeiss nzeiss@labaton.com Paul H. Zumbro mao@cravath.com
18	Brittany Zummer bzummer@theadlerfirm.com, nfournier@theadlerfirm.com
19	Dario de Ghetaldi deg@coreylaw.com, lf@coreylaw.com
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the
21	foregoing is true and correct.
22	Executed on May 5, 2022, at Sacramento, California.
23	
24	/s/ Carmelia V. Domingo
25	CARMELIA V. DOMINGO
26	1239852.1
27	
28	
- 1	1

se: 19-30088 Doc# 12369-1 Filed: 05/02/22 Entered: 05/02/22 10:22:09 Page 78 of 88

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In Re: PG& E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088-DM Chapter 11

COURT CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, the undersigned, a regularly appointed clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, served a copy of the foregoing document(s):

Notice of Appeal and Statement of Election- by Creditor City of Santa Clara dba Silicon Valley Power, Northern California Power Agency - Dkt. #12310

Memorandum Decision Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and the California Department of Water Resources- Dkt. #11999

Order Granting California Department of Water Resources' Motion For Order Determining That The Castle Rock Agreement With PG&E Cannot Be Assumed And Claim No. 78104 Be Paid- Dkt. #12000

Order Denying Debtors' Motion For Entry Of An Order Modifying Plan Injunction And Compelling Arbitration Of Claim Of California Department Of Water Resources- Dkt. #12001

Order Denying Motion to Intervene by City of Santa Clara, DBA Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power Agency- Dkt. #12054

Order Regarding Dispute Between Debtors and California Department of Water Resources-Dkt. #12207

That I, in the performance of my duties as such Clerk, served a copy of the foregoing document(s) on the date shown below:

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12302-1 Fileided 50/60/2022 Enlite te d 50/60/2020 91/90/42/20 Palgreg & 770/61/83

Office of the U.S. Trustee / SF

Phillip J. Burton Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Ave. 5th Fl., #05-0153 San Francisco, CA 94102

Boutin Jones Inc.
Thomas G. Mouzes
Robert D. Swanson
Michael E. Chase
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500
Sacramento, CA 95814

for City of Santa Clara, dba Silicon Valley Power and Northern California Power Agency

-and-

Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer and Pembroke, P.C. Lisa S. Gast 1667 K Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20006

-and-

Jane Luckhardt General Counsel Northern California Power Agency 651 Commerce Dr. Roseville, CA 95678-6411

for California Department of Water Resources

Robert Bonta
Attorney General of California
Danette Valdez
Annadel Almendras
Supervising Deputy Attorneys General
455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
-and-

FELDERSTEIN, FITZGERALD, WILLOUGHBY, PASCUZZI and RIOS, LLP Paul J. Pascuzzi

Nicholas L. Kohlmover

Nicholas L. Kohlmeyer 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250

Sacramento, CA 95814

Keller & Benvenutti LLP
David A. Taylor
Jane Kim
Thomas B. Rupp
650 California St., #1900
San Francisco, CA 94108

for PG& E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company

-and-

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Richard W. Slack Theodore E. Tsekerides Jessica Liou Matthew Goren 767 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10153-0119

Da'Wana L. Chambers

Date: May 6, 2022 Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re:

Bankruptcy Case

PG&E CORPORATION,

- and
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC

COMPANY,

Reorganized Debtors.

Bankruptcy Case

No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case)

(Jointly Administered)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sonia Akter, do declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am employed by Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC ("*Kroll*")¹, the claims and noticing agent for the Reorganized Debtors in the above-referenced chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.
- 2. On May 6, 2022, at my direction and under my supervision, employees of Kroll caused the following document to be served via first class mail on the Notice Parties Service List attached hereto as **Exhibit A**:
 - Notice of Appeal and Statement of Election to Have Appeal Heard by United States District Court for the Northern District of California [Docket No. 12310]
- 3. I have reviewed the Notices of Electronic Filing for the above-listed document, and I understand that parties listed in each NEF as having received notice through electronic mail were electronically served with that document through the Court's Electronic Case Filing system.
- 4. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct and that if called upon as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.

¹ On March 29, 2022, Prime Clerk LLC changed its name to Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC.

Exhibit A

Exhibit A

Notice Parties Service List Served via first class mail

NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	POSTAL CODE
Boutin Jones Inc.	Attn: Thomas G. Mouzes, Robert D. Swanson, Michael E. Chase	555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500	Sacramento	CA	95814
California Department of Water Resources	Attn: Robert Bonta, Danette Valdez, Annadel Almendras	455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000	San Francisco	CA	4102-7004
Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer and Pembroke, P.C.	Attn: Lisa S. Gast	1667 K Street NW, Suite 700	Washington	DC	20006
Felderstein Fitzgerald Willoughby Pascuzzi & Rios LLP	Attn: Paul J. Pascuzzi & Nicholas L. Kohlmeyer	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250	Sacramento	CA	95814
Northern California Power Agency	Attn: Jane Luckhardt, General Counsel	651 Commerce Dr.	Roseville	CA	95678-6411
Office of the U.S. Trustee / SF	Phillip J. Burton Federal Building	450 Golden Gate Ave. 5th Fl., #05-0153	San Francisco	CA	94102

In re: PG&E Corporation, et al. Case No. 19-30088 (DM)